Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure Criteria and Procedures for The Ohio State University Tenure-Initiating Unit (TIU) XXX

Colleges that serve as TIUs should also refer to the College Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure Criteria and Procedures guideline document.

Approved by the Faculty [if applicable]: [date]

Approved by the Office of Academic Affairs: [date]

Table of Contents

I Preamble	5
II TIU Mission	5
III Definitions	5
A Committee of the Eligible Faculty	
1 Tenure-track Faculty	6
2 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty	6
3 Research Faculty	7
4 Associated Faculty	8
5 Conflict of Interest	8
6 Minimum Composition	9
B Promotion and Tenure Committee	9
C Quorum	0
D Recommendation from the Eligible Faculty	0
1 Appointment 1	0
2 Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure, and Promotion	0
IV Appointments	1
A Criteria1	1
1 Tenure-track Faculty1	1
2 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty	2
3 Research Faculty	3
4 Associated Faculty	4
5 Regional Campus Faculty	5
6 Emeritus Faculty	5
7 Courtesy Appointments for Faculty1	6
B Procedures	6
1 Tenure-track Faculty on the Columbus Campus	6
2 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty on the Columbus Campus 1	8
3 Research Faculty on the Columbus Campus	8
4 Transfer from the Tenure Track	8
5 Associated Faculty on the Columbus Campus	8

6 Regional Campus Faculty	19
7 Courtesy Appointments for Faculty	19
V Annual Performance and Merit Review	20
A Documentation	20
B Probationary Tenure-track Faculty on the Columbus Campus	21
1 Fourth-Year Review	
2 Extension of the Tenure Clock	
C Tenured Faculty on the Columbus Campus	22
D Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty on the Columbus Campus	
E Research Faculty on the Columbus Campus	23
F Associated Faculty on the Columbus Campus	23
G Regional Campus Faculty	23
H Salary Recommendations	24
VI Promotion and Tenure and Promotion Reviews	24
A Criteria and Evidence that Support Promotion	25
1 Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure	25
2 Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure <i>[for Clinical Departments in the College of Medicine only]</i>	27
3 Promotion to Professor	27
4 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty	28
5 Research Faculty	29
6 Associated Faculty	29
7 Regional Campus Faculty	30
B Procedures	30
1 Tenure-track, Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice, and Research Faculty on the Columbus Campus	30
a Candidate Responsibilities	30
b Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities	32
c Eligible Faculty Responsibilities	33
d TIU Head Responsibilities	33
2 Procedures for Associated Faculty on the Columbus Campus	35
3 Procedures for Regional Campus Faculty	35

4 External Evaluations	. 35
a Peer and Near Peer Institutions	. 35
VII Promotion and Tenure and Reappointment Appeals	. 37
VIII Seventh-Year Reviews	. 37
IX Procedures for Student and Peer Evaluation of Teaching	. 37
A Student Evaluation of Teaching	. 37
B Peer Evaluation of Teaching	. 39
Appendix A: Sample Criteria and Examples of Evidence for Promotion and/or Tenure [not to be included in unit APT documents]	
Alphabetical List of Hyperlinks in Patterns of Administration and APT Documents [not to be included in unit APT documents]	. 52

Red italicized text is meant to provide guidance. It should not be included in unit governance documents.

Unit governance documents should substitute the term "department" or "school," as appropriate, for "TIU." Likewise, the term "department chair" or "school director," as appropriate, should be used in place of "TIU head."

I Preamble

This document is a supplement to Chapters 6 and 7 of the <u>Rules of the University Faculty</u>; the annually updated procedural guidelines for promotion and tenure reviews in Volume 3 of the Office of Academic Affairs <u>Policies and Procedures Handbook</u>; and other policies and procedures of the college and university to which the TIU and its faculty are subject.

Should those rules and policies change, the TIU will follow the new rules and policies until such time as it can update this document to reflect the changes. In addition, this document must be reviewed, and either reaffirmed or revised, at least every four years on the appointment or reappointment of the TIU head.

This document must be approved by the dean of the college and the Office of Academic Affairs before it may be implemented. It sets forth the TIU's mission and, in the context of that mission and the missions of the college and university, its criteria and procedures for faculty appointments and for faculty promotion, tenure and rewards, including salary increases. In approving this document, the dean and the Office of Academic Affairs accept the mission and criteria of the TIU and delegate to it the responsibility to apply high standards in evaluating current faculty and faculty candidates in relation to TIU mission and criteria.

The faculty and the administration are bound by the principles articulated in Faculty Rule 3335-6-01 of the Administrative Code. In particular, all faculty members accept the responsibility to participate fully and knowledgeably in review processes; to exercise the standards established in Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 and other standards specific to this TIU and college; and to make negative recommendations when these are warranted in order to maintain and improve the quality of the faculty.

Decisions considering appointment, reappointment, and promotion and tenure will be free of discrimination in accordance with the university's <u>policy on affirmative action and equal employment opportunity</u>.

II TIU Mission

Include TIU mission statement.

Wording here must be exactly the same as in the Pattern of Administration.

III Definitions

A Committee of the Eligible Faculty

The eligible faculty for all appointment (hiring), reappointment, promotion, or promotion and tenure reviews must have their tenure home or primary appointment in the TIU.

The TIU head, the dean and assistant and associate deans of the college, the executive vice president and provost, and the president may not participate as eligible faculty members in reviews for appointment, reappointment, promotion, or promotion and tenure.

1 Tenure-track Faculty

Initial Appointment Reviews

[The TIU may choose to allow clinical/teaching/professional practice and research faculty to participate in **initial** appointments, including senior appointments, of tenure-track faculty. In that case, all clinical/teaching/professional practice and research faculty should be included in the first bullet below.]

• **Appointment Review**. For an appointment (hiring) review of an assistant professor, associate professor, or professor, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty in the TIU.

The bullet above is applicable only when the search committee recommendation for appointment decisions includes a faculty vote. The recommendation to the TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee for all searches, whether there is a faculty vote or not.

• Rank Review. [Regardless of whether the appointment decision includes a vote of the eligible faculty, the following action must be taken:] A vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank must be cast by all tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested.

Reappointment, Promotion, or Promotion and Tenure Reviews

- For the reappointment and promotion and tenure reviews of assistant professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate professors and professors.
- For the promotion reviews of associate professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured professors.

2 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty

Initial Appointment Reviews

[The TIU may choose to allow research faculty to participate in **initial** appointments, including senior appointments, of clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty. In that case, all research faculty should be included in the first bullet below.]

• **Appointment Review.** For an appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) review of an assistant clinical/teaching professor or professional practice assistant professor; an associate clinical/teaching professor or professional practice associate professor; or a clinical/teaching professor or professional practice professor, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty and all clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty in the TIU.

The bullet above is applicable only when the search committee recommendation for appointment decisions includes a faculty vote. The recommendation to the

TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee for all searches, whether there is a faculty vote or not.

• Rank Review. [Regardless of whether the appointment decision includes a vote of the eligible faculty, the following action must be taken:] A vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank must be cast by all tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested, and all nonprobationary clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested.

Reappointment and Promotion Reviews

- For the reappointment and promotion reviews of assistant clinical/teaching professors and
 professional practice assistant professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate
 professors and professors, all nonprobationary associate clinical/teaching professors, all
 nonprobationary clinical/teaching professors, all nonprobationary professional practice
 associate professors, and all nonprobationary professional practice professors.
- For the reappointment and promotion reviews of associate clinical/teaching professors and
 professional practice associate professors, and the reappointment reviews of clinical/teaching
 professors and professional practice professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured
 professors, all nonprobationary clinical/teaching professors, and all nonprobationary
 professional practice professors.

3 Research Faculty

Initial Appointment Reviews

[The TIU may choose to allow clinical/teaching/practice faculty to participate in **initial** appointments, including senior appointments, of research faculty. In that case, all clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty should be included in the first bullet below.]

• **Appointment Review.** For an appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) review of a research assistant professor, research associate professor, or research professor, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty and all research faculty in the TIU.

The bullet above is applicable only when the search committee recommendation for appointment decisions includes a faculty vote. The recommendation to the TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee for all searches, whether there is a faculty vote or not.

• Rank Review. [Regardless of whether the appointment decision includes a vote of the eligible faculty, the following action must be taken:] A vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank must be cast by all tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested and all nonprobationary research faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested.

Reappointment and Promotion Reviews

- For the reappointment and promotion reviews of research assistant professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate professors and professors and all nonprobationary research associate professors and professors.
- For the reappointment and promotion reviews of research associate professors and the reappointment reviews of research professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured professors and all nonprobationary research professors.

4 Associated Faculty

Initial Appointment and Reappointment

For the initial appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) of
compensated associated faculty members, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track
faculty, all clinical/teaching/practice faculty, and all research faculty in the TIU. For
reappointments, the eligible faculty are all those with non-probationary
clinical/teaching/professional practice titles and tenured faculty members of equal or higher
rank than the candidate.

The bullet above is applicable only when the search committee recommendation for appointment decisions includes a faculty vote. The recommendation to the TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee for all searches, whether there is a faculty vote or not.

Promotion Reviews

• Associated faculty are eligible for promotion but not tenure if they have adjunct titles, tenure-track titles with service at 49% FTE or below, clinical titles [health sciences only], and lecturer titles.

For the promotion reviews of associated faculty with adjunct titles, the eligible faculty shall be the same as for tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty, as appropriate to the appointment, as described in Sections III.A.1, 2 or 3 above.

For the promotion reviews of associated faculty with tenure-track titles, the eligible faculty shall be the same as for tenure-track faculty as described in Section III.A.1.

For the promotion reviews of associated clinical faculty *[health sciences only]*, the eligible faculty shall be the same as for clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty as described in Section IIII.A.2 above.

The promotion of a lecturer to senior lecturer is decided by the TIU head in consultation with [insert the TIU's relevant advisory body].

5 Conflict of Interest

Search Committee Conflict of Interest

A member of a search committee must disclose to the committee and refrain from participation in any of the interviews, meetings, or votes that comprise the search process if the member:

- decides to apply for the position;
- is related to or has a close interpersonal relationship with a candidate;
- has substantive financial ties with the candidate;
- is dependent in some way on the candidate's services;
- has a close professional relationship with the candidate (e.g., dissertation advisor); or
- has collaborated extensively with the candidate or is currently collaborating with the candidate.

Eligible Faculty Conflict of Interest

A member of the eligible faculty has a conflict of interest when he/she/they are or have been to the candidate:

- a thesis, dissertation, or postdoctoral advisee/advisor;
- a co-author on more than 50% of the candidate's publications since appointment or last promotion, including pending publications and submissions;
- a collaborator on more than 25% of projects since appointment or last promotion, including current and planned collaborations;
- in a consulting/financial arrangement with the candidate since appointment or last promotion, including receiving compensation of any type (e.g., money, goods, or services) or is dependent in some way on the candidate's services; or
- in a family relationship such as a spouse, child, sibling, or parent, or other relationship, such as a close personal friendship, that might affect one's judgment or be seen as doing so by a reasonable person familiar with the relationship.

Such faculty members will be expected to withdraw from a promotion review of that candidate.

6 Minimum Composition

In the event that the TIU does not have at least three eligible faculty members who can undertake a review, the TIU head, after consulting with the dean, will appoint a faculty member from another tenure-initiating unit within the college. [If the TIU is a college, the dean will appoint a faculty member from another college.]

B Promotion and Tenure Committee

TIUs that do not delegate promotion and tenure responsibilities to a Committee of Eligible Faculty subcommittee do <u>not</u> need this section.

The TIU has a Promotion and Tenure Committee that assists the eligible faculty in managing the personnel and promotion and tenure issues. The committee consists of [insert number appropriate to the TIU] professors and [insert number appropriate to the TIU] associate professors. The committee's chair and membership are appointed by the TIU head. The term of service is [insert number appropriate to the TIU] years, with reappointment possible.

When considering cases involving clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty the Promotion and Tenure Committee may be augmented by *[insert number appropriate to the TIU]* nonprobationary clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty members at the rank of associate professor or professor, as appropriate to the case.

When considering cases involving research faculty the Promotion and Tenure Committee may be augmented by *[insert number appropriate to the TIU]* nonprobationary research faculty members at the rank of associate professor or professor, as appropriate to the case.

C Quorum

The quorum required to discuss and vote on all personnel decisions is two-thirds of the eligible faculty not on an approved leave of absence. Faculty on approved leave are not considered for quorum unless they declare, in advance and in writing, their intent to participate in all proceedings for which they are eligible during the leave. A member of the eligible faculty on Special Assignment may be excluded from the count for the purposes of determining quorum only if the TIU head has approved an off-campus assignment.

Faculty members who recuse themselves because of a conflict of interest are not counted when determining quorum.

D Recommendation from the Eligible Faculty

In all votes taken on personnel matters only "yes" and "no" votes are counted. Abstentions are not votes. Faculty members are strongly encouraged to consider whether they are participating fully in the review process when abstaining from a vote on a personnel matter.

The Office of Academic Affairs encourages TIUs to consider removing abstention options on votes for promotion and tenure reviews.

Absentee ballots and proxy votes are not permitted, but participating fully in discussions and voting via remote two-way electronic connection are allowed.

1 Appointment

• A positive recommendation from the eligible faculty for appointment is secured when twothirds of the votes cast are positive.

All search committees are advisory to the TIU head and make their recommendation based on their overall evaluation, which may include a vote of the eligible faculty.

The bullet above applies if a faculty vote is part of the search committee recommendation process.

• In the case of a joint appointment, the TIU must seek input from a candidate's joint-appointment TIU prior to his/her/their appointment.

2 Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure, and Promotion

- A positive recommendation from the eligible faculty for reappointment, promotion and tenure, and promotion is secured when two-thirds of the votes cast are positive.
- In the case of a joint appointment, the TIU must seek input from a candidate's joint-appointment TIU prior to his/her/their reappointment, promotion, and/or tenure.

These numbers are illustrative. Use a voting principle that your faculty agree upon.

IV Appointments

A Criteria

The TIU is committed to making only faculty appointments that enhance or have strong potential to enhance the quality of the TIU. Important considerations include the individual's record to date in teaching, scholarship and service; the potential for professional growth in each of these areas; and the potential for interacting with colleagues and students in a way that will enhance their academic work and attract other outstanding faculty and students to the TIU. No offer will be extended in the event that the search process does not yield one or more candidates who would enhance the quality of the TIU. The search is either cancelled or continued, as appropriate to the circumstances.

The appointment of all compensated tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, research, and associated faculty, irrespective of rank, must be based on a formal search process following the SHIFT Framework for faculty recruitment, as stipulated in the college guideline Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure document, Section IV. B.

All faculty positions must be posted in <u>Workday</u>, the university's system of record for faculty and staff. Formal interviews are required for all positions. Appropriate disposition codes for applicants not selected for a position must be entered in <u>Workday</u> to enable the university to explain why a candidate was not selected and what stage they progressed to before being removed.

1 Tenure-track Faculty

Instructor. Appointment at the rank of instructor is made only when the offered appointment is that of assistant professor, but requirements for the terminal degree have not been completed by the candidate at the time of appointment. Procedures for appointment are identical to those for an assistant professor. The TIU will make every effort to avoid such appointments. An appointment at the instructor level is limited to three years. Promotion to assistant professor occurs without review the semester following completion of the required credentialing. An instructor must be approved for promotion to assistant professor by the beginning of the third year, or the appointment will not be renewed and the third year is the terminal year of employment.

Upon promotion to assistant professor, the faculty member may request prior service credit for time spent as an instructor. This request must be approved by the TIU's eligible faculty, the TIU head, the dean, and the Office of Academic Affairs. Faculty members should carefully consider whether prior service credit is appropriate since prior service credit cannot be revoked once granted except through an approved request to exclude time from the probationary period. In addition, all probationary faculty members have the option to be considered for early promotion.

Assistant Professor. An earned terminal degree is the minimum requirement for appointment at the rank of assistant professor. Evidence of potential for scholarly productivity, high-quality teaching, and high-quality service to the TIU and the profession is highly desirable. Appointment at the rank of assistant professor is always probationary, with mandatory tenure review occurring in the sixth year of service. For individuals not recommended for promotion and tenure after the mandatory review, the 7th year will be the final year of employment.

Review for tenure prior to the mandatory review year is possible when the Promotion and Tenure Committee *[or Committee of Eligible Faculty]* determines such a review to be appropriate. The granting of prior service credit, which requires approval of the Office of Academic Affairs, may reduce the length of the probationary period, but is strongly discouraged as it cannot be revoked once granted except through an approved request to exclude time from the probationary period.

For clinical departments within the College of Medicine:

Consistent with Faculty Rule 3335-6-09, faculty members with significant patient clinical duties in the College of Medicine are granted an extended probationary period of up to 11 years, including prior service credit, depending on the pattern of research, teaching, and service workload. An assistant professor with an extended probationary period is reviewed for promotion and tenure no later than the 11th year as to whether promotion and tenure will be granted at the beginning of the 12th year. For individuals not recommended for promotion and tenure after the mandatory review, the 12th year will be the final year of employment.

Associate Professor and Professor. Appointment offers at the rank of Associate Professor with or without tenure, Professor with tenure, and offers of prior service credit require prior approval of the Office of Academic Affairs.

Appointment at the rank of associate professor normally entails tenure. A probationary appointment at the rank of associate professor is appropriate only under unusual circumstances, such as when the candidate has limited prior teaching experience or has taught only in a foreign country. A probationary period of up to four years is possible, on approval of the Office of Academic Affairs, with review for tenure occurring in the final year of the probationary appointment. If tenure is not granted, an additional (terminal) year of employment is offered.

Appointments at the rank of professor without tenure should not occur.

Offers to foreign nationals require prior consultation with the Office of International Affairs.

2 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty

Except for those appointed at the rank of instructor, for whom a contract is limited to three years, the initial contract for all other clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty members must be for a period of five years. The initial contract is probationary, with reappointment considered annually. Second and subsequent contracts for assistant and associate clinical/teaching professors and professional practice assistant and associate professors must be for a period of at least three years and for no more than five years. Second and subsequent contracts for clinical/teaching/professional practice professors must be for a period of at least three years and no more than eight years. Tenure is not granted to clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty. There is also no presumption that subsequent contracts will be offered, regardless of performance.

The TIU may determine the process for reappointment according to the procedures set forth in the Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment Policy, III, A-G.

The TIU supports Teaching [or Clinical or Professional Practice; use the title(s) that meet the TIU needs] Faculty. These appointments exist for faculty members who focus principally on the education needs of students in the TIU or college. Teaching Faculty members are expected to contribute to the TIU's research and education mission as reflected in undergraduate and graduate program development and teaching. Teaching Faculty appointments are made in accordance with Faculty Rule 3335-7. Each new appointment must enhance, or have strong potential to enhance, the quality of the TIU.

HEALTH SCIENCES EXAMPLE: The TIU supports only the Clinical-Educator pathway. These appointments exist for faculty members who focus principally on the education needs for biomedical investigators and students at the health system, college, or TIU level. Clinical Faculty members are expected to contribute to the TIU's research and education missions, as reflected by participation in graduate program development and teaching. While Clinical Faculty may serve as the PI on a grant proposal, securing extramural funding as PI is not expected. However, participation as Co-I or collaborator in extramural funding proposals may be expected of some Clinical Faculty per their letter of offer. Clinical appointments are made in accordance with Faculty Rule 3335-7. Each new appointment must enhance, or have strong potential to enhance, the quality of the TIU.

Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Instructor. Appointment is normally made at the rank of clinical/teaching/professional practice instructor when the appointee has not completed the requirements for the terminal degree. The TIU will make every effort to avoid such appointments. As noted above, an appointment at the instructor level is limited to a three-year contract. In such cases, if the instructor has not completed requirements for promotion to the rank of assistant professor by the end of the penultimate year of the three-year contract period, a new contract will not be considered even if performance is otherwise adequate and the position itself will continue.

Assistant Clinical/Teaching Professor and Professional Practice Assistant Professor. An earned doctorate [or appropriate terminal degree] and the required licensure/certification in his/her/their specialty [if applicable] are the minimum requirements for appointment at the rank of assistant clinical/teaching professor or professional practice assistant professor. Evidence of ability to teach is highly desirable.

Associate Clinical/Teaching Professor, Professional Practice Associate Professor, Clinical/Teaching Professor, and Professional Practice Professor. Appointment at the rank of associate clinical/teaching professor and professional practice associate professor, or clinical/teaching professor and professional practice professor requires that the individual have an earned doctorate [or appropriate terminal degree] and the required licensure/certification in his/her/their specialty [if applicable], and meet, at a minimum, the TIU's criteria—in teaching, professional practice and other service, and scholarship—for promotion to these ranks.

3 Research Faculty

Appointment of research faculty entails one- to five-year appointments. The initial appointment is probationary, with reappointment considered annually. Tenure is not granted to research faculty. There is also no presumption that subsequent appointments will be offered, regardless of performance.

The TIU may determine the process for reappointment according to the procedures set forth in the Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment Policy, III, A-G.

External appointees at the research associate professor or research professor level will demonstrate the same accomplishments in research and service as persons promoted within the TIU.

Research Assistant Professor. Appointment at the rank of research assistant professor requires that the individual have a doctorate and a record of high-quality publications that strongly indicate the ability to sustain an independent, externally funded research program.

Research Associate Professor and Research Professor. Appointment at the rank of research associate professor or research professor requires that the individual have a doctorate and meet, at a minimum, the TIU's criteria for promotion to these ranks.

4 Associated Faculty

Associated faculty appointments may be as short as a few weeks to assist with a focused project, a semester to teach one or more courses, or for up to three years when a longer contract is useful for long-term planning and retention. Associated faculty may be reappointed.

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Adjunct Associate Professor, Adjunct Professor. Adjunct appointments may be compensated or uncompensated. Adjunct faculty appointments are given to individuals who give academic service to the TIU, such as teaching a course or serving on graduate student committees, for which a faculty title is appropriate. The adjunct faculty rank is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty, as appropriate to the appointment. Adjunct faculty members are eligible for promotion (but not tenure) and the relevant criteria are those for promotion of tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty, as appropriate to the appointment.

Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Professor with FTE below 50%. Appointment at tenure-track titles is for individuals at 49% FTE or below, either compensated (1-49% FTE) or uncompensated (0% FTE). The rank of associated faculty with tenure-track titles is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure-track faculty. Associated faculty members with tenure-track titles are eligible for promotion (but not tenure) and the relevant criteria are those for promotion of tenure-track faculty.

Clinical Instructor of Practice, Clinical Assistant Professor of Practice, Clinical Associate Professor of Practice, Clinical Professor of Practice [health sciences only]. Associated clinical practice appointments may either be compensated or uncompensated. Uncompensated appointments are given to individuals who volunteer uncompensated academic service such as [provide a relevant example] to the TIU, for which a faculty title is appropriate. Associated clinical practice rank is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of clinical faculty. Associated clinical practice faculty members are eligible for promotion (but not tenure) and the relevant criteria are those for promotion of clinical faculty.

Lecturer. Appointment as lecturer requires that the individual have, at a minimum, a Master's degree in a field appropriate to the subject matter to be taught. Evidence of ability to

provide high-quality instruction is desirable. Lecturers are not eligible for tenure, but may be promoted to senior lecturer if they meet the criteria for appointment at that rank. The initial appointment for a lecturer should generally not exceed one year.

Senior Lecturer. Appointment as senior lecturer requires that the individual have a terminal degree in a field appropriate to the subject matter to be taught, along with evidence of ability to provide high-quality instruction; or a Master's degree and at least five years of teaching experience with documentation of high quality. Senior lecturers are not eligible for tenure or promotion. The initial appointment for a senior lecturer should generally not exceed one year.

Visiting Instructor, Visiting Assistant Professor, Visiting Associate Professor, Visiting Professor. Visiting faculty appointments may either be compensated or uncompensated. Visiting faculty members on leave from an academic appointment at another institution are appointed at the rank held in that position. The rank at which other (non-faculty) individuals are appointed is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure-track faculty. Visiting faculty members are not eligible for tenure or promotion. Visiting faculty appointments may be renewed annually for only three consecutive years.

5 Regional Campus Faculty

As the mission of the regional campuses emphasizes undergraduate instruction, regional campus criteria for appointment at the tenure-track ranks of assistant professor, associate professor, and professor are similar to those for Columbus campus faculty, but give relatively greater emphasis at each rank to teaching experience and quality.

Regional campus criteria for the appointment of clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty, research faculty, and associated faculty are the same as those for Columbus campus faculty in each of these categories.

6 Emeritus Faculty

Emeritus faculty status is an honor given in recognition of sustained academic contributions to the university as described in Faculty Rule 3335-5-36. Full-time tenure track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, research, or associated faculty may request emeritus status upon retirement or resignation at the age of sixty or older with ten or more years of service or at any age with twenty-five or more years of service.

Faculty will send a request for emeritus faculty status to the TIU head (regional campus dean for associated faculty on regional campuses) outlining academic performance and citizenship. The faculty eligible to conduct promotion reviews within the requestor's appointment type (see Section III.A.1-4) will review the application and make a recommendation to the TIU head. The TIU head will decide upon the request, and if appropriate submit it to the dean. If the faculty member requesting emeritus status has in the 10 years prior to the application engaged in serious dishonorable conduct in violation of law, rule, or policy and/or caused harm to the university's reputation or is retiring pending a procedure according to Faculty Rule 3335-5-04, emeritus status will not be considered.

See the OAA *Policies and Procedures Handbook* Volume 1, Chapter 1, for information about the types of perquisites that may be offered to emeritus faculty, provided resources are available.

Emeritus faculty may not vote at any level of governance and may not participate in promotion and tenure matters.

7 Courtesy Appointments for Faculty

Occasionally the active academic involvement in this TIU by a tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty member from another unit at Ohio State warrants the offer of a 0% FTE (courtesy) appointment in this TIU. Appropriate active involvement includes research collaboration, graduate student advising, teaching some or all of a course from time to time, or a combination of these. A courtesy appointment is made at the individual's current Ohio State rank, with promotion in rank recognized.

B Procedures

The appointment of all compensated tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, research, and associated faculty, irrespective of rank, must be based on a formal search process following the SHIFT Framework for faculty recruitment. All faculty positions must be posted in Workday, the university's system of record for faculty and staff. Formal interviews are required for all positions. Appropriate disposition codes for applicants not selected for a position must be entered in Workday to enable the university to explain why a candidate was not selected and what stage they progressed to before being removed.

In addition, see the <u>Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection</u> and the <u>Policy on Faculty Appointments</u> for information on the following topics:

- recruitment of tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, research, and associated faculty
- appointments at senior rank or with prior service credit
- hiring faculty from other institutions after April 30
- appointment of foreign nationals
- letters of offer

1 Tenure-track Faculty on the Columbus Campus

A national search is required to ensure a diverse pool of highly qualified candidates for all tenure-track positions. This includes all external candidates for all faculty positions. The only exception is for dual career partners, as described in Volume 1, Chapter 4, section 5.1 of the *Policies and Procedures Handbook*. Exceptions to this policy must be approved by the college and the Office of Academic Affairs in advance. Search procedures must entail substantial faculty involvement and be consistent with the OAA *Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection*.

The dean provides approval for the TIU to commence a search process. This approval may or may not be accompanied by constraints with regard to salary, rank, and field of expertise.

The TIU head appoints a search committee consisting of three or more faculty who reflect the field of expertise that is the focus of the search (if relevant) as well as other fields within the TIU.

Prior to any search, members of all search committees must undergo the trainings identified in the **SHIFT** Framework for faculty recruitment. In addition, all employees/faculty involved in the

hiring and selection process must review and acknowledge the AA/EEO Recruitment and Selection Guidelines in the BuckeyeLearn system.

The SHIFT Framework serves as a centrally coordinated guideline and toolkit to support the entire process of faculty recruitment with clear engagement from all participating stakeholders involved in the faculty hiring process. This framework is intended to provide faculty engaged in search committees and staff providing support services with the tools and support needed to attract excellent and diverse applicant pools, conduct consistent and equitable evaluations, and successfully hire and properly onboard new faculty members who will continue our tradition of academic excellence. This framework consists of six phases, each targeting a specific stage of the recruitment process:

- "Phase 1 | Search Preparation & Proactive Recruitment" is the earliest stage in the search process. Key steps during this phase include determining faculty needs for the unit, creating a search strategy (including timeline), establishing a budget, and identifying additional partners to include in the process. The steps in this phase provide guidance on forming committees, detail training requirements for search committee members, and innovative approaches to advertising and outreach. This section also includes ideas and resources for developing qualified, diverse talent pools to ensure alignment with university and unit AA/EEO goals and advance the eminence of the institution.
- "Phase 2 | Preliminary Review of Applicants" focuses on best practices for the application review and candidate screening processes. The guidelines and resources in this section support consistency, fairness, and equity in the review, assessment, and selection of candidates moving forward in the recruitment process. This section also outlines how to select a list of candidates for on-campus interviews.
- "Phase 3 | Finalists Interviews & Evaluations" provides guidance and tools for conducting interviews and campus visits, requesting reference letters (if not requested earlier in the application stage), and collecting feedback from everyone who interacted with the candidates. Adherence to the guidelines outlined in this section has a direct impact on enhancing the candidate experience and ensuring a consistent evaluation process. This phase concludes with the submission of a letter from the search committee to the TIU chair/director.
- "Phase 4 | Extend Offer" provides guidance and resources related to effectively selecting the most qualified candidate(s) for the position(s) and successfully negotiating to result in an accepted offer.
- "Phase 5 | Preboard and Onboard" offers resources to help prepare and support new faculty as they transition to Ohio State. The suggestions in this phase focus on creating a seamless transition for incoming faculty and their partners/families, if applicable.
- "Phase 6 | Reflect and Assess the Search" is a process supported by OAA to reflect on the hiring cycle each year and evaluate areas that may need improvement and additional support.

If an offer involves senior rank, the eligible faculty members vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank. If an offer may involve prior service credit, the eligible faculty members vote on the appropriateness of such credit. The eligible faculty reports a recommendation on the appropriateness of the proposed rank or the appropriateness of prior service credit to the TIU head. Appointment offers at the rank of associate professor, with or without tenure, or professor, and/or offers of prior service credit require prior approval of the Office of Academic Affairs.

In the event that more than one candidate achieves the level of support required to extend an offer, the TIU head decides which candidate to approach first. The details of the offer, including compensation, are determined by the TIU head.

TIUs are advised to discuss potential appointment of a candidate requiring sponsorship for permanent residence or nonimmigrant work-authorized status with the Office of International Affairs. An MOU must be signed by faculty eligible for tenured positions who are not U.S. citizens or nationals, permanent residents, asylees, or refugees.

2 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty on the Columbus Campus

Searches for clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty generally proceed identically as for tenure-track faculty, with the exception that the candidate's presentation during the interview is on clinical/teaching/professional practice rather than scholarship.

3 Research Faculty on the Columbus Campus

Searches for research faculty generally proceed identically as for tenure-track faculty, with the exception that during the interview the candidate is not asked to teach a class.

4 Transfer from the Tenure Track

Tenure-track faculty may transfer to a clinical/teaching/professional practice or research appointment if appropriate circumstances exist. Tenure or tenure eligibility is lost upon transfer, and transfers must be approved by the TIU head, the college dean, and the executive vice president and provost.

The request for transfer must be initiated by the faculty member in writing and must state clearly how the individual's career goals and activities have changed.

Transfers from a clinical/teaching/professional practice appointment and from a research appointment to the tenure track are not permitted. Clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty members and research faculty members may apply for tenure-track positions and compete in regular national searches for such positions.

5 Associated Faculty on the Columbus Campus

The appointment of compensated associated faculty members follows a formal search following the <u>SHIFT</u> Framework, which includes a job posting in <u>Workday</u> (see Section IV.B above) and candidate interviews. The appointment is then decided by the TIU head based on recommendation from the search committee. The reappointment of all compensated associated faculty members is decided by the TIU head in consultation with *[insert the TIU's relevant advisory body]*.

[Or: The reappointment of all compensated associated faculty members is decided by the TIU head following a vote of the eligible faculty].

Compensated associated appointments are generally made for a period of one to three years, unless a shorter or longer period is appropriate to the circumstances.

Appointment and reappointment of uncompensated adjunct or visiting faculty may be proposed by any faculty member in the TIU and are decided by the TIU head in consultation with [insert the TIU's relevant advisory body].

Visiting appointments may be made for one term of up to three years or on an annual basis for up to three years.

Lecturer and senior lecturer appointments are made on an annual basis and rarely semester by semester. After the initial appointment, and if the TIU's curricular needs warrant it, a multiple year appointment may be offered.

All associated appointments expire at the end of the appointment term and must be formally renewed to be continued.

6 Regional Campus Faculty

The appointment of all compensated regional campus faculty follows a formal search following the **SHIFT** Framework, which includes a job posting in **Workday** and candidate interviews.

The regional campus has primary responsibility for determining the position description for a tenure-track faculty search, but the regional campus dean or designee consults with the TIU head to reach agreement on the description before the search begins. The regional campus search committee must include at least one representative from the TIU.

Candidates are interviewed by, at a minimum, the regional campus dean, TIU head, and either the regional campus search committee or broader representation of the regional and Columbus faculties. The regional campus may have additional requirements for the search not specified in this document. A hiring decision requires agreement by the TIU head and regional campus dean. Until agreement is reached, negotiations with the candidate may not begin, and the letter of offer must be signed by the TIU head and the regional campus dean.

Searches for regional campus clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty and research faculty are the same as those described above for tenure-track faculty.

Associated faculty are appointed by the regional campus associate dean, in consultation with the dean, TIU head, program coordinators, and other relevant faculty members.

7 Courtesy Appointments for Faculty

Any TIU faculty member may propose a 0% FTE (courtesy) appointment for a tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty member from another Ohio State tenure-initiating unit. A proposal that describes the uncompensated academic service to this TIU justifying the appointment is considered at a regular faculty meeting. If the proposal is approved by the eligible faculty, the TIU head extends an offer of appointment. The TIU head reviews all courtesy appointments every three years to determine whether they continue to be justified, and takes recommendations for nonrenewal before the faculty for a vote at a regular meeting.

V Annual Performance and Merit Review

The TIU follows the requirements for the annual performance and merit review as set forth in the <u>Policy on Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment</u>, which stipulates that such reviews must include a scheduled opportunity for a face-to-face meeting as well as a written assessment. According to the policy, the purposes of the review are to:

- Assist faculty in improving professional productivity through candid and constructive feedback and through the establishment of professional development plans;
- Establish the goals against which a faculty member's performance will be assessed in the foreseeable future; and
- Document faculty performance in the achievement of stated goals in order to determine salary increases and other resource allocations, progress toward promotion, and, in the event of poor performance, the need for remedial steps.

The annual performance and merit review of a faculty member is the responsibility of that faculty member's TIU head.

- Depending on a faculty member's appointment type, the review is based on expected performance in teaching, scholarship, and/or service as set forth in the TIU's guidelines on faculty duties, responsibilities, and workload; on any additional assignments and goals specific to the individual; and on progress toward promotion where relevant.
- The review of faculty with budgeted joint appointments must include input from the joint appointment TIU head for every annual evaluation cycle. The input should be in the form of a narrative commenting on faculty duties, responsibilities, and workload; on any additional assignments; and on goals specific to the individual in the joint unit.
- Meritorious performance in teaching, scholarship, and service is assessed in accordance with the same criteria that form the basis for promotion decisions.
- Annual performance and merit reviews must include a scheduled opportunity for a face-to-face meeting as well as a written assessment.
- Per Faculty Rule <u>3335-3-35</u>, TIU heads are required to include a reminder in annual review letters that all faculty have the right (per Faculty Rule <u>3335-5-04</u>) to view their primary personnel file and to provide written comment on any material therein for inclusion in the file.

A Documentation

For their annual performance and merit review, compensated faculty members must submit the following documents to the TIU head no later than the final day of autumn semester classes:

- Office of Academic Affairs dossier outline, <u>Policies and Procedures Handbook</u>, Volume 3 (required for probationary faculty) or updated documentation of performance and accomplishments (non-probationary faculty)
- updated CV, which will be made available to all faculty in an accessible place (all faculty)

Other documentation for the annual performance and merit review will be the same as that for consideration for promotion and/or tenure. That documentation is described in Section VI of this document.

Under no circumstances should faculty solicit evaluations from any party for purposes of the annual performance and merit review, as such solicitation places its recipient in an awkward position and produces a result that is unlikely to be candid.

B Probationary Tenure-track Faculty on the Columbus Campus

Every probationary tenure-track faculty member is reviewed annually by the TIU head, who meets with the faculty member to discuss his/her/their performance, future plans, and goals; and prepares a written evaluation that includes a recommendation on whether to renew the probationary appointment.

Also describe the role of any other faculty involved in the review.

If the TIU head recommends renewal of the appointment, this recommendation is final. The TIU head's annual review letter to the faculty member renews the probationary appointment for another year and includes content on future plans and goals. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review. The TIU head's letter (along with the faculty member's comments, if received) is forwarded to the dean of the college. In addition, the annual review letter becomes part of the cumulative dossier for promotion and tenure (along with the faculty member's comments, if provided).

If the TIU head recommends nonrenewal, the Fourth-Year Review process (per Faculty Rule 3335-603) is invoked. Following completion of the comments process, the complete dossier is forwarded to the college for review and the dean makes the final decision on renewal or nonrenewal of the probationary appointment. [If the TIU is a college, OAA makes the final decision on renewal of the probationary appointment.]

1 Fourth-Year Review

During the fourth year of the probationary period the annual review follows the same procedures as the mandatory tenure review, with the exceptions that external evaluations are optional and the dean (not the TIU head) makes the final decision regarding renewal or nonrenewal of the probationary appointment. [If the TIU is a college, OAA makes the final decision on renewal of the probationary appointment.]

External evaluations are solicited only when either the TIU head or the eligible faculty determine that they are necessary to conduct the Fourth-Year Review. This may occur when the candidate's scholarship is in an emergent field, is interdisciplinary, or the eligible faculty do not feel otherwise capable of evaluating the scholarship without outside input.

The eligible faculty conducts a review of the candidate. On completion of the review, the eligible faculty votes by written ballot on whether to renew the probationary appointment.

The eligible faculty forwards a record of the vote and a written performance review to the TIU head, who conducts an independent assessment of performance and prepares a written evaluation that includes a recommendation on whether to renew the probationary appointment. At the conclusion of the TIU review, the formal comments process (per Faculty Rule 3335-6-04) is followed and the case is forwarded to the college for review, regardless of whether the TIU head recommends renewal or nonrenewal. [If the TIU is a college, the case is forwarded to OAA.]

2 Extension of the Tenure Clock

Faculty Rule 3335-6-03 (D) sets forth the conditions under which a probationary tenure track faculty member may extend the probationary period. Faculty Rule 3335-6-03 (E) does likewise for reducing the probationary period. A faculty member remains on duty regardless of extensions or reductions to the probationary period, and annual reviews are conducted in every probationary year regardless of time extended or reduced. Approved extensions or reductions do not limit the TIU's right to recommend nonrenewal of an appointment during an annual review.

C Tenured Faculty on the Columbus Campus

Associate professors are reviewed annually by the TIU head [or, in large TIUs, a designee who submits a written performance review to the TIU head along with comments on the faculty member's progress toward promotion; see note below]. The TIU head [or designee; see note below] conducts an independent assessment; meets with the faculty member to discuss his/her/their performance and future plans and goals; and prepares a written evaluation on these topics. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review.

Professors are reviewed annually by the TIU head *[or designee in large TIUs; see note below]*, who meets with the faculty member to discuss his/her/their performance and future plans and goals. The annual review of professors is based on their having achieved sustained excellence in the discovery and dissemination of new knowledge relevant to the mission of the TIU, as demonstrated by national and international recognition of their scholarship; ongoing excellence in teaching, including their leadership in graduate education in both teaching and mentoring students; and outstanding service to the TIU, the college, the university, and their profession, including their support for the professional development of assistant and associate professors. Professors are expected to be role models in their academic work, interaction with colleagues and students, and in the recruitment and retention of junior colleagues. As the highest ranking members of the faculty, the expectations for academic leadership and mentoring for professors exceed those for all other members of the faculty.

If a professor has an administrative role, the impact of that role and other assignments will be considered in the annual review. The TIU head *[or designee; see note below]* prepares a written evaluation of performance against these expectations. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review.

In some large TIUs, the TIU head may designate the responsibility for the annual review of associate professors and professors to division directors or other appropriate unit administrators. A subcommittee of the eligible faculty may provide a written review to the TIU head or designee. Accountability for the annual review process resides with the TIU head.

D Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty on the Columbus Campus

The annual performance and merit review process for clinical/teaching/professional practice probationary and nonprobationary faculty is identical to that for tenure-track probationary and tenured faculty respectively, except that non-probationary clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty may participate in the review of clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty of lower rank.

In the penultimate contract year of a clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty member's appointment, the TIU head must determine whether the position held by the faculty member will continue. If the position will not continue, the faculty member is informed that the final contract year

will be a terminal year of employment. The standards of notice set forth in Faculty Rule <u>3335-6-08</u> must be observed.

The TIU may determine the process for reappointment according to the procedures set forth in the Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment Policy, III, A-G.

There is no presumption of renewal of appointment.

E Research Faculty on the Columbus Campus

The annual performance and merit review process for research probationary and nonprobationary faculty is identical to that for tenure-track probationary and tenured faculty, respectively, except that non-probationary research faculty may participate in the review of research faculty of lower rank.

In the penultimate contract year of a research faculty member's appointment, the TIU head must determine whether the position held by the faculty member will continue. If it will not continue, the faculty member is informed that the final contract year will be a terminal year of employment. The standards of notice set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-08 must be observed.

The TIU may determine the process for reappointment according to the procedures set forth in the Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment Policy, III, A-G.

There is no presumption of renewal of appointment.

F Associated Faculty on the Columbus Campus

Compensated associated faculty members in their initial appointment must be reviewed before reappointment. The TIU head, or designee, prepares a written evaluation and meets with the faculty member to discuss his/her/their performance, future plans, and goals.

The TIU head's decision on renewal of the appointment is final. [If the TIU head's decision follows a vote of the eligible faculty, that should be stated.] If the decision is to renew, the TIU head may extend a multiple year appointment.

Compensated associated faculty members on a multiple year appointment are reviewed annually by the TIU head, or designee, who prepares a written evaluation and meets with the faculty member to discuss his/her/their performance, future plans, and goals. No later than October 15 of the final year of the appointment, the TIU head will decide whether or not to reappoint. [If the TIU head's decision follows a vote of the eligible faculty, that should be stated.] The TIU head's decision on reappointment is final.

G Regional Campus Faculty

Regional campus tenure-track faculty are reviewed according to the process established on that campus, with the review focusing on teaching and service. Following the review by the regional campus, the regional campus dean meets with the TIU head for each regional campus faculty member for evaluation of the faculty member's research and creative activity during the review period. The regional campus dean provides an annual performance and merit review letter. In the event of divergence in performance assessment between the regional campus and the TIU, the TIU head

discusses the matter with the regional campus dean in an effort to clarify and reconcile the divergence, so that the faculty member receives consistent assessment and advice.

Regional campus clinical/teaching/practice faculty are reviewed according to the process established on that campus, with the review focusing on teaching and service. The regional campus dean will provide the TIU head a copy of a clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty member's annual performance and merit review letter.

The annual performance and merit review of regional campus research faculty takes place on the Columbus campus and follows the same procedures as those described above for Columbus campus research faculty. Following the review, the TIU head will consult with the regional campus dean. The TIU head will provide the regional campus dean a copy of the faculty member's annual performance and merit review letter.

Regional campus associated faculty are reviewed according to the process established on that campus, with the review focusing on teaching and service, as applicable.

H Salary Recommendations

The TIU head makes annual salary recommendations to the dean, who may modify them. The recommendations are based on the current annual performance and merit review as well as on the performance and merit reviews of the preceding 24 months.

In formulating recommendations, the TIU head consults with the TIU Executive Committee *[insert the appropriate advisory body]*. The TIU head should proactively engage in an annual equity audit of faculty salaries to ensure that they are commensurate both within the TIU and across the field or fields represented in the TIU. Salary increases should be based upon these considerations.

Faculty members who wish to discuss dissatisfaction with their salary increase with the TIU head should be prepared to explain how their salary (rather than the increase) is inappropriately low, since increases are solely a means to the end of an optimal distribution of salaries.

Faculty who fail to submit the required documentation (see Section V-A above) for an annual performance and merit review at the required time will receive no salary increase in the year for which documentation was not provided, except in extenuating circumstances, and may not expect to recoup the foregone raise at a later time.

VI Promotion and Tenure and Promotion Reviews

Faculty Rule <u>3335-6-02</u> provides the following context for promotion and tenure and promotion reviews:

In evaluating the candidate's qualifications in teaching, scholarship, and service, reasonable flexibility shall be exercised, balancing, where the case requires, heavier commitments and responsibilities in one area against lighter commitments and responsibilities in another. In addition, as the university enters new fields of endeavor, including interdisciplinary endeavors, and places new emphases on its continuing activities, instances will arise in which the proper work of faculty members may depart from established academic patterns. In such cases care must be taken to apply the criteria with sufficient flexibility. In all instances superior intellectual attainment, in accordance with the criteria set forth in these rules, is an essential qualification for

promotion to tenured positions. Clearly, insistence upon this standard for continuing members of the faculty is necessary for maintenance and enhancement of the quality of the university as an institution dedicated to the discovery and transmission of knowledge.

A Criteria and Evidence that Support Promotion

1 Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure

Faculty Rule <u>3335-6-02</u> provides the following general criteria for promotion to associate professor with tenure:

The awarding of tenure and promotion to the rank of associate professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has achieved excellence as a teacher, as a scholar, and as one who provides effective service; and can be expected to continue a program of high-quality teaching, scholarship, and service relevant to the mission of the academic unit(s) to which the faculty member is assigned and to the university.

Tenure is not awarded below the rank of associate professor at The Ohio State University.

The award of tenure is an acknowledgement of excellence and future potential for preeminence. It is therefore essential to evaluate and judge the probability that faculty, once tenured, will continue to develop professionally and contribute to the TIU's academic mission at a high level for the duration of their time at the university.

Every candidate is held to a high standard of excellence in all aspects of performance. Above all, candidates are held to a very high standard of excellence in the areas central to their responsibilities. For example, if a candidate's primary teaching role is and will continue to be undergraduate teaching, then excellence in undergraduate teaching is required. A mediocre performance in this area would not be adequately counterbalanced by excellent performance in another aspect of teaching that is a significantly smaller part of the individual's responsibilities.

Excellence in teaching, scholarship, and service is moreover defined to include professional ethical conduct in each area of responsibility, consistent with the <u>American Association of University Professors' Statement on Professional Ethics.</u>

The content below is not meant to be exhaustive or applicable to all disciplines but is provided to demonstrate the types of criteria and evidence that may support promotion to associate professor with tenure.

In this section, a TIU should list the criteria and evidence it has identified as supporting promotion to associate professor with tenure. This information may be presented in chart form using the models that follow, or in any other format that clearly sets forth, on the one hand, a TIU's criteria for promotion with tenure and, on the other, the evidence showing that the criteria have been met. In all cases, the criteria and evidence should be clear and rigorous, as befits The Ohio State University.

Criteria	Types of Evidence Demonstrating Impact and
	Showing Criteria Have Been Met
	Candidates may be asked to submit [select or develop evidence appropriate to the TIU's criteria]:
Developed new and effective instructional techniques and materials appropriate for the objectives and level of the course Demonstrated continuing growth in subject matter knowledge	 Changes to or development of syllabi, examinations, laboratory exercises, case studies, field trip agenda, problem sets, computer software demonstrate up-to-date thought on subject content Summary of class comments demonstrate instructional content up-to-date Experts in field evaluate and determine syllabi, class evaluation items and class materials up-to-date and appropriate for topic and audience External faculty expert reviews course materials (syllabus, assignments, examinations, sample class information) and evaluates meeting contemporary expectations for topic Attended continuing education on topic or focus area and adopted new materials in class Completed Foundations, Impact Teaching through the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning Completed Teaching at Ohio State through the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning Awarding of "Endorsement" from Drake Institute of Teaching and Learning

Further examples of criteria and types of evidence that demonstrate impact are in Appendix A. Each TIU should select or develop criteria and relevant evidence that criteria have been met.

SCHOLARSHIP/CREATIVE WORKS/RESEARCH	
Criteria	Types of Evidence Demonstrating Impact and Showing Criteria Have Been Met
Candidates must have [select or write criteria for TIU]:	Candidates may be asked to submit [select or develop evidence appropriate to the TIU's criteria]:
Demonstrated thematically focused research/scholarship/creative outcomes that contributes to knowledge in area of expertise and relationship to his/her/their scholarly agenda, unit mission, and societal needs	 A body of work in peer reviewed journals, or other journals consistent with the standards of the appropriate unit, and/or conferences of high quality that clearly demonstrates creation of an independent research/scholarship/creative program over time, and contributes substantively to knowledge/outcomes in the area of focus. Publications demonstrate research/scholarship/creative focus. Complete publication record including archival journal papers, conference papers and posters (both refereed and otherwise), monographs, books, book chapters, textbooks based on scholarship, magazine articles and on-line publications, patents and invention disclosures. Sustained grants and contracts, when appropriate for the field, including foundations, federal agencies, major industry, or private sector – may be as Primary Investigator

or Co-Investigator with documented focused contribution on multiple grants or projects • White papers that can be shown to have influenced policy or practice • Creative works pertinent to the candidate's professional focus including artwork, choreography, collections, compositions, curated exhibits, moving images, multimedia, performances, radio, recitals, recordings, television, and websites • Research awards (internal and external) • Keynote presentations at international conferences • Invited talks at symposia, conferences, other field specific venues that demonstrate the recognition of the thought
leadership of the candidate.
The state of the s

Further examples of criteria and types of evidence that demonstrate impact are in Appendix A. Each TIU should select or develop criteria and relevant evidence that criteria have been met.

SERVICE	
Criteria	Types of Evidence Demonstrating Impact and Showing Criteria Have Been Met
Candidates must have [select or write criteria for TIU]:	Candidates may be asked to submit [select or develop evidence appropriate to the TIU's criteria]:
Demonstrated excellence in service to the TIU	 Contributions and quality indicators of the outcomes of the contributions Recognition (awards and prizes) for service to TIU Annual evaluations document excellent service to TIU
Demonstrated high quality administration to the university at any level	Contributions and quality indicators of the outcomes of the contributions including positive change
Demonstrated community-engagement	 Activities / quality indicators within the Community Setting Unique service to disadvantaged communities.
Further examples of criteria and types of evidence that demonstrate impact are in Appendix A. Each TIU should select or develop criteria and relevant evidence that criteria have been met.	

2 Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure [for clinical departments within the College of Medicine only]

Faculty members with significant clinical responsibilities with an eleven-year probationary period who fully meet the teaching and service requirements for promotion to associate professor with tenure, but not all of the research requirements, may petition for promotion to associate professor without tenure.

Spell out minimum scholarship requirements for promotion to associate professor without tenure.

Faculty members who are promoted without the award of tenure must be considered for tenure no later than the mandatory review date or six years following promotion, whichever comes first.

3 Promotion to Professor

Faculty Rule <u>3335-6-02</u> establishes the following general criteria for promotion to the rank of professor:

Promotion to the rank of professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has a sustained record of excellence in teaching; has produced a significant body of scholarship that is recognized nationally or internationally; and has demonstrated leadership in service.

The specific criteria in teaching, scholarship, and service for promotion to professor are similar to those for promotion to associate professor with tenure [see charts in Section VI.A.1], with the added expectation of sustained accomplishment and quality of contributions, a record of continuing professional growth, and evidence of established national or international reputation in the field.

Units should also add additional specific criteria about work applicable especially to senior faculty, e.g. doctoral-level advising, university and professional service, and appropriate levels of leadership.

When assessing a candidate's national and international reputation in the field, a national and international reputation for the scholarship of teaching may be counted as either teaching or scholarship.

In addition, as further specified by Faculty Rule 3335-6-02, assessment is in relation to specific assigned responsibilities with reasonable flexibility being exercised in order to balance, where the case requires, heavier responsibilities and commitment in one area against lighter ones in another. Promotion should reflect the reality that (a) not all faculty members have the same distribution of assignments (b) not all faculty members will be able to contribute excellence equally in all evaluation dimensions; and (c) there is a multi-faceted institutional responsibility that must be achieved by the skills of the faculty collectively. Promotion to professor should be awarded not only to those faculty who have demonstrated impact in their scholarship of research and creative inquiry, teaching and learning, and service, but also to those who have exhibited excellence in leadership to make visible and demonstrable impact upon the mission of the TIU, college and university.

In the evaluation of untenured associate professors for tenure, the same criteria will apply, along with any others established in writing at the time a senior rank appointment without tenure was offered.

4 Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice Faculty

Professor. For promotion to assistant clinical/teaching professor or professional practice assistant professor, a faculty member must complete his/her/their doctoral degree and meet the required licensure/certification in his/her/their specialty and be performing satisfactorily in teaching, professional practice, and service. Promotion will entail generation of a renewed contract. There is no presumption of a change in contract terms.

Professor. For promotion to associate clinical/teaching professor or professional practice **Associate Professor.** For promotion to associate clinical/teaching professor or professional practice associate professor, a faculty member must show convincing evidence of excellence as a teacher and a provider of effective service; must have a documented high level of competence in professional practice; and must display the potential for continuing a program of high-quality teaching and service relevant to the mission of this TIU. Specific criteria in teaching and service

for promotion to associate clinical/teaching professor and to professional practice associate professor are similar to those for promotion to associate professor with tenure. [If scholarship activity is required, this must be noted.] Promotion will entail generation of a renewed contract. There is no presumption of a change in contract terms.

Promotion to Clinical/Teaching Professor or Professional Practice Professor. For promotion to clinical/teaching professor or professional practice professor, a faculty member must have a record of continuing professional growth and increasing quality of contributions, including a sustained record of excellence in teaching and professional practice; leadership in service to this TIU and to the profession; and production and dissemination of scholarly materials pertinent to pedagogy and/or professional practice. Promotion will entail generation of a renewed contract. There is no presumption of a change in contract terms.

See also Appendix A for examples of criteria and types of evidence that demonstrate impact.

5 Research Faculty

Promotion to Research Associate Professor. For promotion to research associate professor, a faculty member must have a substantial record of high-quality focused research consistent with an appointment devoted solely to research. Publications must appear in high-quality peer-reviewed venues and be judged by external evaluators as having substantial positive impact on the field. A record of continuous peer reviewed extramural and/or commercial funding is required along with evidence of a growing national reputation. Promotion will entail generation of a renewed contract. There is no presumption of a change in contract terms.

Promotion to Research Professor. For promotion to research professor, a faculty member must have a national or international reputation built on an extensive body of high-quality publications and with demonstrated impact on the field. A record of continuous peer-reviewed extramural and/or commercial funding is required, along with demonstrated research productivity as a result of such funding. Promotion will entail generation of a renewed contract. There is no presumption of a change in contract terms.

See also Appendix A for examples of criteria and types of evidence that demonstrate impact.

6 Associated Faculty

Promotion to Adjunct Associate Professor and Adjunct Professor. The relevant criteria for the promotion of adjunct faculty members shall be the same as those for the promotion of tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty, as appropriate to the appointment, above.

Promotion to Associate Professor and Professor with FTE below 50%. The relevant criteria for the promotion of associated faculty members with tenure-track titles are those for the promotion of tenure-track faculty above.

Promotion to Clinical Associate Professor of Practice and Clinical Professor of Practice *[health sciences only]*. The relevant criteria for the promotion of associated clinical practice faculty members shall be the same as those for the promotion of clinical faculty above.

Promotion to Senior Lecturer. Lecturers may be promoted to senior lecturer if they meet the criteria for appointment at that rank as described in Section IV.A.4.

Promotion of Visiting Faculty. Visiting faculty members are not eligible for promotion.

7 Regional Campus Faculty

The primary function of the regional campuses is to provide high-quality undergraduate instruction and to serve the academic needs of their communities. With this consideration in mind, in evaluating regional campus tenure-track faculty for promotion and tenure or promotion, the TIU will give greater emphasis to the quality of teaching and service relative to scholarship. Recognizing that the character and quantity of scholarship by regional campus faculty may differ from that of Columbus campus faculty, due to the weight of other responsibilities and lack of access to comparable resources, the TIU nevertheless expects regional campus tenure-track faculty to establish a program of high-quality scholarly activity.

In evaluating regional campus clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty, research faculty, and associated faculty for promotion, the TIU will use the same criteria as described above for the promotion of faculty in each of these categories.

B Procedures

The TIU's procedures for promotion and tenure and promotion reviews are fully consistent with those set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 and the Office Academic Affairs annually updated procedural guidelines for promotion and tenure reviews found in Volume 3 of the *Policies and Procedures Handbook*.

1 Tenure-Track, Clinical/Teaching/Professional Practice, and Research Faculty on the Columbus Campus

a Candidate Responsibilities

Candidates for promotion and tenure or promotion are responsible for submitting a complete, accurate dossier and providing a copy of the APT document under which they wish to be reviewed, if other than the TIU's current document. If external evaluations are required, candidates are responsible for reviewing the list of potential external evaluators compiled for their case according to TIU guidelines. Each of these elements is described in detail below.

Dossier

Every candidate must submit a complete and accurate dossier that follows the Office of Academic Affairs <u>dossier outline</u>. Candidates should not sign the Office of Academic Affairs <u>Candidate Checklist</u> without ascertaining that they have fully met the requirements set forth in the Office of Academic Affairs core dossier outline including, but not limited to, those highlighted on the checklist.

While the Promotion and Tenure Committee *[or eligible faculty]* makes reasonable efforts to check the dossier for accuracy and completeness, the candidate bears full responsibility for all parts of the dossier that are to be completed by him/her/them.

The time period for teaching documentation to be included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the start date to present. For tenured or nonprobationary faculty it is the date of last promotion, reappointment, or the last five years, whichever is more recent, to present. The eligible faculty may allow a candidate to include information prior to the date of last promotion or reappointment if it believes such information would be relevant to the review. Any such material should be clearly indicated.

For scholarship documentation, a full history of publications and creative work should be included, as this information provides context to the more recent and relevant research record and/or demonstrates scholarly independence. Information about scholarship produced prior to the start date (for probationary faculty) or date of last promotion or reappointment may be provided. Any such material should be clearly indicated. However, it is the scholarship performance since the start date or date of last promotion that is to be the focus of the evaluating parties.

The time period for service documentation to be included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the start date to present. For tenured or nonprobationary faculty it is the date of last promotion, reappointment, or the last five years, whichever is more recent, to present. The eligible faculty may allow a candidate to include information prior to the date of last promotion or reappointment if it believes such information would be relevant to the review. Any such material should be clearly indicated.

TIUs will require unit-appropriate documentation, which should be detailed here. It is the responsibility of the TIU to evaluate and verify the documentation submitted.

The complete dossier is forwarded when the review moves beyond the TIU. The documentation of teaching is forwarded along with the dossier. The documentation of scholarship and service is for use during the TIU review only, unless reviewers at the college and university levels specifically request it.

• Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure (APT) Document

Candidates must indicate the APT document under which they wish to be reviewed. A candidate may be reviewed using the TIU's current APT document, or they may elect to be reviewed under either (a) the APT document that was in effect on their start date, or (b) the APT document that was in effect on the date of their last promotion (or last reappointment in the case of clinical/teaching/practice and research faculty), whichever of these two latter documents is the more recent. However, for tenure track faculty the current APT document must be used if the letter of offer or last promotion, whichever is more recent, was more than 10 years before April 1 of the review year.

If a candidate wishes to be reviewed under an APT other than the current approved version available <u>here</u>, a copy of the APT document under which the candidate has elected to be reviewed must be submitted when the dossier is submitted to the TIU.

• External Evaluations (see also External Evaluations below)

If external evaluations are required, candidates are responsible for reviewing the list of potential external evaluators developed according to TIU guidelines. The candidate may add no more than three additional names, but is not required to do so. The candidate may request

the removal of no more than two names, providing the reasons for the request. The TIU head decides whether removal is justified.

b Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities [or the eligible faculty, if the TIU does not delegate these responsibilities to a Promotion and Tenure Committee; see also Eligible Faculty Responsibilities below]

The responsibilities of the Promotion and Tenure Committee are as follows:

- To review this APT document annually and to recommend proposed revisions to the faculty.
- To consider annually, in spring semester, requests from faculty members seeking a non-mandatory review in the following academic year and to decide whether it is appropriate for such a review to take place. Only professors on the committee may consider promotion review requests to the rank of professor. A two-thirds majority of those eligible to vote on a request must vote affirmatively for the review to proceed.
 - The committee bases its decision on assessment of the record as presented in the faculty member's CV and on a determination of the availability of all required documentation for a full review (student and peer evaluations of teaching). Lack of the required documentation is necessary and sufficient grounds on which to deny a non-mandatory review.
 - O A tenured faculty member may be denied a formal promotion review under Faculty Rule 3335-6-04A(3) only once. Faculty Rules 3335-7-08 and 3335-7-36 make the same provision for nonprobationary clinical/teaching/professional practice and research faculty, respectively. If the denial is based on lack of required documentation and the faculty member insists that the review go forward in the following year despite incomplete documentation, the individual should be advised that such a review is unlikely to be successful.
 - A decision by the committee to permit a review to take place in no way commits the eligible faculty, the TIU head, or any other party to the review to making a positive recommendation during the review itself.
- Annually, in late spring through early autumn semester, to provide administrative support for the promotion and tenure review process as described below.
 - Late Spring: Select from among its members a Procedures Oversight Designee who will serve in this role for the following year. The Procedures Oversight Designee cannot be the same individual who chairs the committee. The Procedures Oversight Designee's responsibilities are described in the Office of Academic Affairs annual procedural guidelines.
 - Late Spring: Suggest names of external evaluators to the TIU head. The external
 evaluators will be drawn predominantly from the lists of peer and aspirational peer
 programs (see Section VI.B.4 below). Justification will be provided in cases when a
 suggested evaluator is from a program not included on these lists.

- Early Autumn: Review candidates' dossiers for completeness, accuracy (including citations), and consistency with Office of Academic Affairs requirements; and work with candidates to assure that needed revisions are made in the dossier before the formal review process begins.
- Meet with each candidate for clarification as necessary and provide the candidate an opportunity to comment on his/her/their dossier. This meeting is not an occasion to debate the candidate's record.
- Draft an analysis of the candidate's performance in teaching, scholarship and service to
 provide to the full eligible faculty with the dossier; and seek to clarify any inconsistent
 evidence in the case, where possible.
- Revise the draft analysis of each case following the meeting of the full eligible faculty, to include the faculty vote and a summary of the faculty perspectives expressed during the meeting; and forward the completed written evaluation and recommendation to the TIU head.
- o Provide a written response, on behalf of the eligible faculty, to any candidate comments that warrant response, for inclusion in the dossier.
- O Provide a written evaluation and recommendation to the TIU head in the case of joint appointees from another tenure-initiating unit. The full eligible faculty does not vote on these cases since the TIU's recommendation must be provided to the other tenure-initiating unit substantially earlier than the committee begins meeting on this TIU's cases.

c Eligible Faculty Committee Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the members of the Eligible Faculty Committee are as follows:

- To review thoroughly and objectively every candidate's dossier in advance of the meeting at which the candidate's case will be discussed.
- To attend all eligible faculty meetings except when circumstances beyond one's control prevent attendance; to participate in discussion of every case; and to vote.

d TIU Head Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the TIU head are as follows:

• To determine whether a candidate is authorized to work in the United States and whether a candidate now, or in the future, will require sponsorship for an employment visa or immigration status. [The TIU must ensure that such questions are asked of all candidates in a non-discriminatory manner.] For tenure-track assistant professors, TIU heads are to confirm that candidates are eligible to work in the U.S. Candidates who are not U.S. citizens or nationals, permanent residents, asylees, or refugees will be required to sign an MOU at the time of promotion with tenure.

- Late Spring Semester: To solicit external evaluations from a list including names suggested by the Promotion and Tenure Committee, the TIU head, and the candidate. (Also see External Evaluations below.)
- To review faculty with budgeted joint appointments. The TIU head from the joint appointment unit must provide a letter of evaluation to the primary TIU head. The input should be in the form of a narrative commenting on faculty duties, responsibilities, and workload; on any additional assignments; and on impact of the work of the individual in the field of the joint unit.
- To make each candidate's dossier available in an accessible place for review by the eligible faculty at least two weeks before the meeting at which specific cases are to be discussed and voted.
- To charge each member of the Eligible Faculty Committee to conduct reviews free of bias and based on criteria.
- To remove any member of the eligible faculty from the review of a candidate when the member has a conflict of interest but does not voluntarily withdraw from the review.
- To attend the meetings of the eligible faculty at which promotion and tenure matters are discussed and respond to questions raised during the meeting. At the request of the eligible faculty, the TIU head will leave the meeting to allow open discussion among the eligible faculty members.
- **Mid-Autumn Semester:** To provide an independent written evaluation and recommendation for each candidate, following receipt of the eligible faculty's completed evaluation and recommendation.
- To meet with the eligible faculty to explain any recommendations contrary to the recommendation of the committee.
- To inform each candidate in writing after completion of the TIU review process:
 - o of the recommendations by the eligible faculty and TIU head;
 - o of the availability for review of the written evaluations by the eligible faculty and TIU head; and
 - of the opportunity to submit written comments on the above material, within ten calendar days from receipt of the letter from the TIU head, for inclusion in the dossier. The letter is accompanied by a form that the candidate returns to the TIU head, indicating whether or not he/she/they will submit comments.
- To provide a written response to any candidate comments that warrant response for inclusion in the dossier.
- To forward the completed dossier to the college office by that office's deadline.
- To receive the Promotion and Tenure Committee's *[or eligible faculty's]* written evaluation and recommendation of candidates who are joint appointees from other tenure-initiating

units, and to forward this material, along with the TIU head's independent written evaluation and recommendation, to the head of the other tenure-initiating unit by the date requested.

2 Procedures for Associated Faculty on the Columbus Campus

Adjunct faculty, associated faculty with tenure-track titles, and associated clinical faculty *[health sciences only]* for whom promotion is a possibility follow the promotion guidelines and procedures detailed in Section VI.B above, with the exception that the review does not proceed to the college level if the TIU head's recommendation is negative (a negative recommendation by the TIU head is final in such cases), and does not proceed to the executive vice president and provost if the dean's recommendation is negative.

Positive recommendations from deans in colleges with TIUs likewise do not proceed to the executive vice president and provost. However, should a recommendation from a dean of a college without TIUs be positive, that decision shall proceed to the executive vice president and provost.

3 Procedures for Regional Campus Faculty

Regional campus tenure-track faculty are first reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus dean. The regional campus review focuses on teaching and service. The regional campus dean forwards the written evaluation and recommendation of the regional campus review to the TIU head, from which point the review follows the procedures described for the Columbus campus faculty. A request to promote requires agreement by the dean and the TIU head.

Regional campus clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty are reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus dean. Following the review, the dean consults with the faculty member's TIU head. A request to promote follows the same procedures as tenure-track faculty except that external letters are not needed unless scholarship is a component of the assigned role.

The review of regional campus research faculty takes place on the Columbus campus and follows the same procedures as those described above for Columbus campus research faculty. Following the review, the TIU head will consult with the regional campus dean. A request to promote requires agreement by the regional campus dean and the TIU head.

Associated faculty are reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus dean. The decision of the regional campus dean is final.

4 External Evaluations

This TIU will seek external evaluations predominately from evaluators in the following programs:

a Peer and Near Peer Institutions

[units should list five to ten peer programs as well as five to ten aspirational peer programs that the TIU emulates or aspires to emulate in performance and reputation]

Justification will be provided in each case when a suggested evaluator is from a program not included on these lists.

External evaluations of scholarly activity and research are obtained for all promotion reviews in which scholarship must be assessed. These include all tenure-track faculty promotion and tenure or promotion reviews and all research faculty promotion reviews. External evaluations of scholarly activity and research are not obtained for clinical/teaching/ professional practice or associated faculty unless the faculty member has been involved in a significant amount of scholarship. The decision to seek external evaluations for a clinical/teaching/professional practice or associated faculty member will be made by the TIU head after consulting with the candidate and the chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee [or eligible faculty].

A conflict of interest for external reviewers exists if the reviewer is or has been to the candidate: a) a thesis, dissertation, or postdoctoral advisee/advisor; b) a research collaborator, which includes someone who has been a coauthor on a publication within the past 3 years, including pending publications and submissions; c) a collaborator on a project within the past 3 years, including current and planned collaborations; d) in a consulting/financial arrangement with the candidate within the past 3 years, including receiving compensation of any type (e.g., money, goods, or services); e) a relative or close personal friend; or f) in any relationship, personal or professional, that could reduce the reviewer's objectivity. Also excluded are reviewers from the same institution, or those who had previous employment in the same institution within the past 12 months, or those who are being considered for employment at that institution.

A minimum of five credible and useful evaluations must be obtained. A credible and useful evaluation:

- Is written by a person highly qualified to judge the candidate's scholarship (or other performance, if relevant) who is not a close personal friend, former academic advisor or post-doctoral mentor of the candidate, or someone who has collaborated extensively with the candidate or is currently collaborating with the candidate (see description of conflict of interest for external reviewers just above). Qualifications are generally judged on the basis of the evaluator's expertise, record of accomplishments, and institutional affiliation. This TIU will solicit evaluations only from professors with institutional affiliations predominately in the programs listed above. In the case of an assistant professor seeking promotion to associate professor with tenure, a minority of the evaluations may come from associate professors.
- Provides sufficient analysis of the candidate's performance to add information to the review.
 A letter's usefulness is defined as the extent to which the letter is analytical as opposed to perfunctory. Under no circumstances will "usefulness" be defined by the perspective taken by an evaluator on the merits of the case.

Since the TIU cannot control who agrees to write and or the usefulness of the letters received, more letters are sought than are required, and they are solicited no later than the end of the spring semester prior to the review year. This timing allows additional letters to be requested should fewer than five useful letters result from the first round of requests.

As described above, a list of potential evaluators is assembled by the Promotion and Tenure Committee [or eligible faculty], the TIU head, and the candidate. If the evaluators suggested by the candidate meet the criteria for credibility, a letter is requested from at least one of those persons. Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 requires that no more than half the external evaluation letters in

the dossier be written by persons suggested by the candidate. In the event that the person(s) suggested by the candidate do not agree to write, neither the Office of Academic Affairs nor this TIU requires that the dossier contain letters from evaluators suggested by the candidate.

The TIU follows the Office of Academic Affairs suggested format for letters requesting external evaluations. A sample letter for tenure-track and research faculty can be found here. A sample letter for clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty can be found here.

Under no circumstances may a candidate solicit external evaluations or initiate contact in any way with external evaluators for any purpose related to the promotion review. If an external evaluator should initiate contact with the candidate regarding the review, the candidate must inform the evaluator that such communication is inappropriate and report the occurrence to the TIU head, who will decide what, if any, action is warranted (requesting permission from the Office of Academic Affairs to exclude that letter from the dossier). It is in the candidate's self-interest to assure that there is no ethical or procedural lapse, or the appearance of such a lapse, in the course of the review process.

All solicited external evaluation letters that are received must be included in the dossier. If concerns arise about any of the letters received, these concerns may be addressed in the TIU's written evaluations or brought to the attention of the Office of Academic Affairs for advice.

VII Promotion and Tenure and Reappointment Appeals

Only the candidate may appeal a negative tenure, promotion, or reappointment decision.

Performance that is adequate for annual reappointment may not be adequate for the granting of promotion or tenure with promotion for faculty on the tenure track or, in the case of clinical/teaching/practice or research faculty, for securing a reappointment.

Faculty Rule 3335-6-05 sets forth general criteria for appeals of negative promotion and tenure decisions.

Appeals alleging improper evaluation are described in Faculty Rule <u>3335-5-05</u>.

Disagreement with a negative decision is not grounds for appeal. In pursuing an appeal, the faculty member is required to document the failure of one or more parties to the review process to follow written policies and procedures.

VIII Seventh-Year Reviews

Faculty Rule <u>3335-6-05</u> sets forth the conditions of and procedures for a Seventh-Year Review for a faculty member denied tenure as a result of a sixth-year (mandatory tenure) review.

IX Procedures for Student and Peer Evaluation of Teaching

A Student Evaluation of Teaching

Use of the Student Evaluation of Instruction (eSEI) is required in every course offered in this TIU. [Units using other evaluation tools instead of the eSEI should describe them here.] Faculty members should choose a day late in the semester when attendance is likely to be high if students will be asked to complete the evaluation using a mobile application. The faculty member must leave the classroom

during the time allotted for completing the evaluation. The faculty member should reiterate to students that the feedback provided in the evaluations is used both for performance reviews and to provide feedback that can be taken into account in future teaching.

Include language on additional discursive evaluative instruments and processes for collecting them if the TIU requires or recommends them.

B Peer Evaluation of Teaching

The TIU head oversees the TIU's peer evaluation of teaching process.

Annually the TIU head appoints a Peer Review of Teaching Committee of a size judged sufficient to meet the volume of peer review activity expected that year, without overburdening any of the members. The term of service is one year, with reappointment possible. Reasonable efforts are made to distribute service among the tenured faculty from year to year in order to support and encourage attention to the quality of teaching in the TIU. Although there is no presumption that a peer reviewer must be of equal or higher rank than the faculty member being reviewed, such a model will be followed to the extent possible.

The numbers below are illustrative only. University policy (OAA <u>Policies and Procedures Handbook</u> Volume 1, Chapter 2, 1.4.4.1.1) requires that a minimum of two peer reviews be conducted at each promotion and reappointment. TIUs should ensure that the numbers in unit APT documents are in accordance with college or campus guidelines or have been approved as an exception to them.

The responsibilities of the Peer Review of Teaching Committee are as follows:

- to review the teaching of probationary tenure-track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, and associated faculty at least once per year with the goal of assessing teaching at all the levels of instruction to which the faculty member is assigned.
- to review the teaching of tenured associate professors and nonprobationary assistant clinical/teaching professors, nonprobationary associate clinical/teaching professors, nonprobationary professional practice assistant professors, and nonprobationary professional practice associate professors at least once every other year, with the goal of assessing teaching at all the levels of instruction to which the faculty member is assigned over a six year period and of having at least four peer reviews of teaching before the commencement of a promotion review.
- to review the teaching of tenured professors and nonprobationary clinical/teaching professors and nonprobationary professional practice professors at least once every other year with the goal of assessing teaching at all the levels of instruction to which the faculty member is assigned during the year of the review.
- to review, upon the TIU head's request, the teaching of any faculty member not currently scheduled for review. Such reviews are normally triggered by low or declining student evaluations or other evidence of the need for providing assistance in improving teaching.
- to review the teaching of a faculty member not currently scheduled for review, upon that individual's request, to the extent that time permits. Reviews conducted at the request of the faculty member are considered formative only. The TIU head is informed that the review took place, but the report is given only to the faculty member who requested the review. Faculty

seeking formative reviews should also seek the services of the <u>Michael V. Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning</u>.

Reviews conducted upon the request of the TIU head or the faculty member focus on the specific aspects of instruction requested by the TIU head or faculty member and may or may not include class visitations.

Regularly scheduled peer teaching evaluations (the first three situations listed above) are comprehensive and should include, in addition to class visitation, review of course syllabi and related instruction materials. In the case of peer review for the purposes of promotion and tenure reviews, the class visitation is conducted by one or more senior peers whom the promotion and tenure chair has identified in consultation with the candidate. The peer reviewer should meet with the candidate to establish a time for the visit and to understand the goals of the course and the candidate's teaching philosophy. If possible, the peer reviewer should attend two different class sessions over the course of the semester.

In observing the course and reviewing the syllabus and other materials, the peer reviewer should focus on such issues as the appropriateness of the course design given the goals and level of the course, the quality and effectiveness of the instructional materials and assessment tools, and the appropriateness of the approach relative to current disciplinary knowledge. At the conclusion of the class visits, the reviewer meets with the candidate to give feedback and also submits a written report to the TIU head, copied to the candidate. The candidate may provide written comments on this report and the reviewer may respond if desired. The reports are included in the candidate's promotion and tenure dossier.

The suggested process above could be supplemented by TIU guidelines for peer reviewers. Such guidelines should distinguish between <u>formative</u> reviews (provided as feedback to the faculty instructor) and <u>evaluative</u> reviews (used in promotion reviews and performance reviews). For additional information on the peer review process, contact the <u>Michael V. Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning and the Office of Distance Education and eLearning.</u>

APPENDIX A – SAMPLE CRITERIA AND EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FOR PROMOTION AND TENURE

DO NOT INCLUDE THIS TABLE – EXAMPLES ONLY

The Office of Academic Affairs recognizes that promotion and tenure criteria must be specific to the college and tenure initiating unit. Below are <u>examples</u> of criteria for a unit. Also below are <u>examples of evidence</u> that may demonstrate outcomes indicating that expectations have been met. These are meant to assist units in writing their APT documents.

The Office of Academic Affairs recognizes that evidence of excellence may vary by individual due to his/her/their assigned work. The evidence of excellence should thus be based upon an individual's assigned work and reflected in the candidate's self-assessment and statement of plans and goals. A summary of the candidate's portfolio on teaching, including evidence of formative evaluation, is recommended as a helpful tool to reviewers.

Building a dossier demonstrating that a candidate meets the criteria for promotion and/or tenure is the responsibility of the candidate.

TEACHING	
Criteria	Types of Evidence Demonstrating Impact and Showing Criteria Have Been Met
Developed new and effective instructional techniques and materials appropriate for the objectives and level of the course Demonstrated continuing growth in subject matter knowledge Demonstrated the ability to organize and present class material effectively with logic, conviction, and enthusiasm	 Changes to or development of syllabi, examinations, laboratory exercises, case studies, field trip agenda, problem sets, computer software demonstrate up-to-date thought on subject content Summary of class comments demonstrate instructional content up-to-date Experts in field evaluate and determine syllabi, class evaluation items and class materials up-to-date and appropriate for topic and audience External faculty expert reviews course materials (syllabus, assignments, examinations, sample class information) and evaluates meeting contemporary expectations for topic Attended continuing education on topic or focus area and adopted new materials in class Completed Foundations, Impact Teaching through the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning Completed Teaching at Ohio State through the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning Awarding of "Endorsement" from the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning Cumulative eSEI reports or other teaching evaluations such as trainee evaluations, Med-Star, or TIU/college specific evaluation forms for every class eSEI items 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9 scores equal or greater than average for TIU or college or goal score determined by TIU, i.e. greater than 4.0 eSEI items 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9 scores demonstrate positive trajectory during review period

Demonstrated creativity in the use of various modes of instruction, classroom or online technology, and other teaching strategies to create an optimal learning environment	 eSEI item 10 scores equal or greater than average for TIU or college or goal score determined by TIU, i.e. greater than 4.0 Positive peer evaluation documenting these areas demonstrate positive trajectory during review period Positive evaluations from Continuing Education Programs, both internal and external to the university Use of multimodal techniques or approaches to stimulate class participation and learning – discuss in teaching narrative Peer evaluation descriptions positive on mode of instruction eSEI items 2, 8, 9 scores equal or greater than average for TIU or college or goal score determined by TIU, i.e. greater than 4.0 eSEI items 2, 8, 9 scores demonstrate positive trajectory during review period
Engaged students actively in the learning process and encouraged independent thought, creativity, critical thinking, and appreciation of the knowledge creation process	 eSEI items 2, 4, 8 scores equal or greater than average for TIU or college or goal score determined by TIU, i.e. greater than 4.0 eSEI items 2, 4, 8 scores demonstrate positive trajectory during review period Exit questionnaires for graduating students demonstrate positive contributions Advising questionnaires demonstrate positive contributions STEP Advisor – demonstrated positive student outcomes
Disseminated intellectual contributions related to teaching	 Copies of pedagogical papers, books or other materials published, or accepted for publication. Material accepted for publication but not yet published must be accompanied by a letter from the publisher stating that the work has been unequivocally accepted and is in final form with no further revisions needed. Peer-evaluated scholarly publications designed primarily to communicate with other educators, e.g., journal articles on curricula, course innovations and student placement Textbooks authored or edited, textbook chapters, laboratory exercises and other instructional materials developed. Scope and distribution of each item should be included.
Developed the ability to attain the educational benefits of students' diversity in the classroom	Summaries of the following demonstrating positive outcomes: • Summative and non-summative evaluation/feedback from students/residents • eSEI including summary of comments • Faculty peer teaching reviews
Demonstrated excellence in outreach education	 Demonstrated an understanding of the needs of outreach learners Effective teaching materials and programs as measured by outcomes and adoption of the materials or programs

Provided appropriate and timely feedback to	 Creativity in subject matter development, methods of presentation and the incorporation of new ideas Positive evaluations of presentations provided through outreach education Evidence-based presentations meeting the needs of the learners Positive qualitative student/resident comments
students/residents throughout the instructional process	Feedback on Carmen/Canvas sites
Treated students/residents with respect and courtesy	 Positive qualitative student/resident comments Positive peer evaluations Exit interview summaries demonstrating respect and courtesy
Improved curriculum through revision or new development of courses and/or academic programs	 Involvement and specific outcomes in curriculum development Leadership in development of the curriculum and courses which goes beyond normal teaching and service expectations
Served as advisor to an appropriate number of graduate students given the TIU's graduate student/faculty ratio and the faculty member's area(s) of expertise	Teaching activities as listed in the core dossier including: involvement in graduate/professional exams, theses, and dissertations, and undergraduate research mentoring postdoctoral scholars and researchers
Served as research mentor to undergraduate students	 mentoring of undergraduate research students promoting student participation in research presentations (e.g., Denman) serving as mentor or committee member for honors research theses
Engaged in documentable efforts to improve teaching	 Completed Foundations, Impact Teaching through the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning. Completed Teaching at Ohio State through the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning. Teaching portfolio demonstrating teaching outcomes after efforts to improve Annual evaluations – setting goals, document activities
	 in which faculty member participated, changes made to teaching, and outcomes of the change (improvement in student success as demonstrated by higher board scores or other documentation, eSEI improvements, etc.) Maintenance and development of professional competence and growth through participation in
	workshops, study leaves, learning communities, courses, industry or government visits, interaction with practitioners and self-study should be documented in writing, including when each activity occurred, and professional growth accrued • Awarding of "Endorsement" by the Drake Institute for
Demonstrated exceptional teaching outcomes	Teaching and Learning Awards and formal recognition of teaching Presentations on pedagogy and teaching at institutions beyond Ohio State, in professional societies, at national and international conferences Adoption of teaching materials by other colleges or universities.

	 eSEI – Item 10 scores equal to or greater than average for TIU or college or above 4.0 National and international reputation for teaching – contribution to professional area in teaching. Use, development, and support of information
	technologies in teachingExceptional Grand Round evaluationsExceptional professional meeting presentation
	evaluations Exceptional extension program evaluations Exceptional Duels Institute Mantagenesis and Duels Institute Mant
	 Exceptional Drake Institute Mentor evaluations Exceptional STEP Mentor evaluations
Demonstrated outstanding creation of digital media and/or digital editions or textbooks	 Creation of images, Web sites, digital tools and software for teaching Adoption, distribution, or publication of digital works Awards and/or adoption of the above items by multiple sites Recognition by external reviewers Lead in the development of faculty team-based projects Technological innovations that permitted persons with
	disabilities to utilize digital media
	• Engagement of new audiences
Demonstrated exceptional community-engaged teaching	Terms that may be used: service-learning, community-based education, practice-based teaching, experiential or active learning, internships, practicum Excellence: Service-learning contributions: Relate to the faculty member's area of scholarship Responsive to a recognized need on-campus or in the community and have significant and lasting impact Carried out in partnership with the community being served Demonstrate that students have provided a needed service to members of the community at large, rather than an exclusionary group Methodology used provides a way for students to process and synthesize the impact of the service-learning experiences on their understanding of the subject matter of the class Demonstrate that students broadened understanding of civic involvement, even though students may also focus on career preparation Awards received for service related to engagement in teaching
	• Dissemination of outcomes (presentations, articles, etc.)
Demonstrated a positive relationship between citizenship and teaching	Mentorship to junior facultyActive involvement in teaching evaluation
	 Counseling and directing of graduate and undergraduate students, postdocs, and residents in career development Mentorship to STEP cohort Mentorship to Drake Institute cohort
Documented outstanding graduate teaching	Exit questionnaires demonstrate outstanding graduate teaching

Graduate students participate in programs outside the
university
Graduate students earn awards
Graduate student placement in academic and non-
academic settings post-graduation

A TIU may also wish to consider including examples of teaching activities that contribute to diversity and equal opportunity from the <u>Diversity</u>, <u>Equity</u>, <u>and Inclusion in Tenure & Promotion Workgroup Report and Recommendations to the Salisbury University Faculty Senate</u>. These examples appear on page 6 of the report.

The following teaching effectiveness criteria from the <u>University of California Academic Personnel Policy</u> may also be useful:

"... [the] extent and skill of the candidate's participation in the general guidance, mentoring and advising of students; effectiveness in creating an academic environment that is open and encouraging to all students, including development of particularly effective strategies for the educational advancement of students in various under-represented groups.

Among significant types of evidence of teaching effectiveness are development of new and effective techniques of instruction, including techniques that meet the needs of students from groups that are underrepresented in the field of instruction."

SC	CHOLARSHIP
Criteria	Types of Evidence Demonstrating Impact and Showing Criteria Have Been Met
Demonstrated thematically focused research/scholarship/creative outcomes that contributes to knowledge in area of expertise and relationship to his/her/their scholarly agenda, unit mission and societal needs	 A body of work in peer reviewed journals, or other journals consistent with the standards of the appropriate unit, and/or conferences of high quality that clearly demonstrates creation of an independent research/scholarship/creative program over time, and contributes substantively to knowledge/outcomes in the area of focus. Publications demonstrate research/scholarship/creative focus. Complete publication record including archival journal papers, conference papers and posters (both refereed and otherwise), monographs, books, book chapters, textbooks based on scholarship, magazine articles and on-line publications, patents and invention disclosures. Refereed conference publications considering the conferences involved are recognized as refereed, highly selective, and of high quality. The visibility of the conference as a focal point for research in the area should be clearly established. Sustained grants and contracts including foundations, federal agencies, major industry, or private sector – may be as Primary Investigator or Co-Investigator with documented focused contribution on multiple grants or projects Leadership in conducting and reporting outcomes of
	 clinical trials White papers that can be shown to have influenced policy or practice Creative works pertinent to the candidate's professional focus including artwork, choreography, collections, compositions, curated exhibits, moving images, multimedia, performances, radio, recitals, recordings, television, and websites Outcome indicators, between faculty expertise and project objectives/constituent needs
	 Creation of digital media, software, patents, and fact sheets related to outcomes Description of significant outreach activities in which the faculty member played a major role, with qualitative indicators to evaluate the excellence of each activity Major external awards from national/international agencies, associations, and private foundation Keynote lectures/presentations at conferences, symposia,
	 and other organizational field specific workgroups. Testimony on scholarship expertise to bodies of Congress
Demonstrated high quality scholarship/research of teaching	Scholarship of teaching including pedagogical papers, textbooks, monographs and compilations of essential education resources, including online teaching resources. Scholarship of teaching may also include the creation of digital, simulation or other learning tools Only 10 to
Demonstrated high quality scholarship/research of extension	Substantial body of focused, high quality research/scholarly/creative work that is disseminated

	appropriately and evaluated to have had impact on the field by internal and external evaluators
	 Evidence of work in progress A body of work published and/or presented in high quality peer reviewed venues (books, journals, scholarly conferences, etc.) that is thematically focused, contributes substantively to knowledge in the area of focus, and cited or otherwise show evidence of influence on the work of others
	Rigorous peer review process and degree of dissemination of publication and/or processtation variety.
	 of publication and/or presentation venues Archival journal publications and monographs, conference
	proceedingsInternally peer reviewed OSU Extension publications for
	non-campus-based faculty • Collaborative scholarship with defined intellectual
	contribution to multiple projects
	Obtain and sustain program funding from grants and contracts
	Awarded competitive peer reviewed funding awarded and outcomes from funding provide impact
	• External reviewers note a reputation based on the quality of outcomes in area of specialization
	County and non-campus-based faculty have statewide and regional reputation based on impact of analysis in the state of analysis in the st
	regional reputation based on impact of specialization outcomes
	Campus-based faculty have national and/or international reputation
Demonstrated successful entrepreneurship	Patents and licenses of invention disclosures, software development, and materials transfers
	Technology commercialization
	Formation of startup companies
	Licensing and options agreements
Demonstrated influence on the work of others	 Consulting work with industry and other external partners Outcome indicators of activity to external audience, to the
Demonstrated influence on the work of others	profession/discipline and to the public good that indicate impact and importance in the candidate's field (and any other fields/communities where one engages)
	 Development of program materials, exhibits, shows, and concerts, technical reports
	Invited webinars, presentations, scholars visiting to
	document, observe, or model your work
	Significant portion of the publications authored by the candidate with his/her/their own graduate students and other collaborators.
7	Collaborative team-based research demonstrating the candidate's contributions to collaborative publications, and
	recognizable as a unique and creative contribution to the overall body of work
	External reviewer positive comments
D	• Citations
Demonstrated high quality research/scholarship/print or digital	Publication in peer reviewed books, journals, and monographs
scholarship/creative outcomes	Journal ranking, citation index, H-index, impact on field

	 Primary journal of faculty member's discipline Engagement/outreach: scholarly recognition including peer reviews of the activity and its results Creation of digital media, digital humanities projects, software patents, and fact sheets Grants and contracts designed to develop and deliver outreach innovations Documentation of inventions, patents, disclosures, options and commercial licenses List of prizes and awards for research, scholarly, outreach,
	or creative work • External reviewer positive comments
Demonstrated impact of research, scholarship or engagement	 Individuals from outside the state or nation have sought candidate and want to study the outreach provider's work and innovations Significance of problem addressed: how serious was the problem or need; what social, economic or cultural consequences could have resulted from not addressing the problem or need Citations in other works Inventions, patents, disclosures, options, and commercial licenses Intellectual property such as copyrighted materials, software, multimedia presentations Materials transfer agreements suggest recognition of one's work and can be one component of national/international impact
Provided ongoing quantity of	Sufficient productivity over time according to norms in the
Demonstrated unique contribution to a line of inquiry Demonstrated rigor of peer-review process and	 Creative works pertinent to the candidate's professional focus. including artwork, choreography, collections, compositions, curated exhibits, moving images, multimedia, performances, radio, recitals, recordings, television, interviews, and websites External peer reviewers comment that the faculty member has made a substantial contribution to the discipline or profession in an area and the extent to which that person has been recognized by other scholars, public policy makers and/or practitioners Consistent contribution demonstrating expertise to multiple scholarly, research or engagement outcomes Scale of the problem: what are the size, trends, future directions and geographic distribution of the problem Narrative describing the activity, the reasons why it was undertaken, the faculty member's intellectual contribution and leadership role, and how the activity contributed to his/her/their scholarly advancement Uniquely creative approaches to framing research questions, with unique cultural or demographic impact of the work in publications or grant proposals Inventions, patents, disclosures, options and commercial
Demonstrated rigor of peer-review process and degree of dissemination of publication venues.	 Inventions, patents, disclosures, options and commercial licenses Publications in high impact journals, conferences, etc. Documentation of the quality of the dissemination

Demonstrated the candidate's ability to conduct work and to mentor future scholars	 Candidate advising a group of graduate students at varying stages of progress in their own development as apprentice researchers Evidence of support for undergraduate, graduate, and professional students including, but not limited to, financial, grants, and positive mentoring reviews Undergraduate, graduate, and professional students and residents' advisee awards Student positions post-graduation Student success related to mentored work (productivity, dissemination, awards, scholarships, grants) Evidence of recruiting and mentoring of diverse student backgrounds, particularly women and people of color
Demonstrated participation in high quality collaborative work	 Scholarship, research, engagement, clinical outcomes that define a continued contribution showing expertise and creativity needed for the success of the project/study Narrative describing the activity, intent, anticipated outcomes, the faculty member's intellectual contribution and leadership role, and how the activity contributed to his/her/their scholarly/creative, clinical advancement Evaluations by other members of collaborative teams, including students and staff
Demonstrated ability to obtain and potential to sustain research and scholarly program funding.	 Competitive peer-reviewed research funding – national or international grants from funding agencies including government agencies and private foundations, and associations that require outcomes Grants and contracts designed to develop and deliver outreach or engagement innovations Contribution to the collaboration of research outcomes as a member of a team or interdisciplinary cluster Unique thematic focus (expertise) consistently provided to the scientific outcomes of the scholarship Defined pattern of contribution to interdisciplinary cluster
Developed national/international reputation in the candidate's field	 Recognition by external reviewers that the faculty member has made a substantial contribution to the discipline or profession and the extent to which that person has been recognized by other scholars, public policy makers and/or practitioners External evaluations, invitations to present at recognized prestigious forums, invitations to review research papers and grant proposals, and a beginning trend of positive citations in other researchers' publications
Demonstrated a high degree of professional ethics	 High degree of ethical conduct of research including, but not limited to, full and timely adherence to all regulations relevant to the research program, and ethical treatment of undergraduate, graduate and professional students, residents, postdoctoral fellows, and collaborators Contributes to a positive and compelling working environment, particularly one that welcomes diversity in faculty, staff and students
Participated in high quality engaged scholarship/research	 The match, including outcome indicators, between faculty expertise and project objectives/constituent needs Scholarly recognition including peer reviews of the activity and its results

Publication in peer reviewed books, journals, and monographs Creation of digital media, software technology, patents, computer programs, forums, and fact sheets influencing decision-making and demonstrating outcomes Development of program materials, exhibits, shows, and concerts, technical reports Grants and contracts designed to develop and deliver outreach innovations Documentation that individuals from outside the state or nation have sought out and want to study the outreach provider's work and innovations Issuance of patents and evidence of intellectual property (copyrighted materials, software, multimedia presentations, Evaluative statements from peers or clients related to the specific ways in which the faculty member's scholarly contribution to a program, project, or product proved essential to its success and resulted in identifiable results that contributed to the public good. Recognition by peer reviewers that the faculty member has made a substantial contribution to the discipline or profession and the extent to which that person has been recognized by other scholars, public policy makers, and/or practitioners Significance of problem addressed: how serious was the problem or need; what social, economic or cultural consequences could have resulted from not addressing the problem or need Scale of the problem: what are the size, trends, future directions and geographic distribution of the problem

Provided high quality outreach objectives and a relationship to scholarly agenda, unit mission and societal needs

Description of significant outreach activities in which the faculty member played a major role, with qualitative indicators to evaluate the excellence of each activity

A TIU may also wish to include examples of scholarship that promotes diversity, equity, and inclusion, such as the production of white papers, the creation of academic policies and procedures that advance DEI, and community-engaged scholarship that focuses on marginalized communities. *NB: the initial time spent to build community relationships does not typically result in immediate scholarly outputs. This should be taken into account in evaluating scholarly productivity.*

Other examples of scholarly activities that contribute to diversity and equal opportunity appear in the <u>Diversity</u>, <u>Equity</u>, <u>and Inclusion in Tenure & Promotion Workgroup Report and Recommendations to the Salisbury University Faculty Senate</u> (see pages 6-7) and in a <u>report</u> by the University of Denver's College of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences DEI Committee, which cites "scholarly productivity in particular texts, data sets, methodological practices, theories, or creative discourses that involve equity and inclusion within a faculty member's area of expertise" as an achievement to be valued in promotion decisions.

The following statement from the <u>University of California Academic Personnel Policy</u> may also be useful in describing scholarly activities that promote equitable access and diversity:

"Textbooks, reports, circulars, and similar publications normally are considered evidence of teaching ability or public service. However, contributions by faculty members to the professional literature or to the advancement of professional practice or professional education, including contributions to the advancement of equitable access and diversity in education should be judged creative work when they present new ideas or original scholarly work."

SERVICE	
Examples of Expectations	Types of Evidence Demonstrating Impact and Showing Criteria Have Been Met
Demonstrated excellence in service to the TIU	 Quality indicators of the outcomes of contributions Recognition (awards and prizes) for service to TIU Annual evaluations documenting excellence in service to TIU
Demonstrated excellence in service to the college	 Quality indicators of the outcomes of contributions Awards and prizes for service to college Annual evaluations documenting excellence in service to college
Demonstrated excellence in service to the university	 Administrative responsibilities including: the direction/coordination of programs or offices, admission, participation in special studies or projection, collection development, care and use; grants received in support of the institution Appointed and elected university, college, and TIU ad hoc or standing committees, councils, task forces, and boards Service as a mentor to a STEP cohort or Drake Institute cohort (if not used in teaching outcomes) Quality indicators of the outcomes of contributions, including roles in any major reports issued, policy changes recommended and implemented, and administrative units restructured. Service that advances the University's commitment to diversity and inclusion Awards and prizes for service to University
Demonstrated excellence in service to the students of Ohio State	 Advising student clubs, College Honors Committee, or other organizations; serving on advisory and as outside representative on examination committees of graduate students, and serving on university student committees (e.g., Judicial and Academic misconduct), STEP mentor (if not used under teaching)
Demonstrated significant service to a profession or field	 Quality indicators of the outcomes of contributions Involvement with professional journals (journal editorships, reviewer) and professional societies (offices or committees) Professional conference organization Consultation activity with industry, professional discipline education development, other universities, or government Awards and prizes for service to profession Any available evidence (e.g., letters from committee chairs) of the quality of service that enhances the list of service activities in the dossier Evidence of professional expertise to public and private entities as a reviewer for funding proposals, study sections, external examiner, member of panels and commissions, professional consultant to industry, government, and education organization External reviewers of academic programs, national laboratories and research centers.

	Contributions to national and international scholarly
	advisory bodies (e.g. IPCC).
Development and advancement of the	Quality indicators of the outcomes of contributions
interdisciplinary cluster	
Demonstrated high quality clinical services	Quality indicators of clinical outcomes
including human and animal clients, with care	Evidence of high quality patient care and clinical
provided by university faculty members through	service to hospitals
hospitals laboratories, and clinics	Evidence of high quality service to hospital/healthcare
	system
Demonstration of high quality administration to	Quality indicators of the outcomes of contributions
the University at any level	including positive change
Demonstrated community-engagement	Quality indicators of activities within the Community
	Setting
	Unique service to disadvantaged communities.
Demonstrated outstanding creation of print or	Creation of images, Web sites, digital tools and
digital media for a public audience and/or public	software for public
websites	Narrative describing theoretical underpinnings,
	intellectual rigor of work, and considerations in
	translating research for a public audience
	Awards and/or adoption of the above items by multiple
	sites
	Recognition by external reviewers
	Description of the creation of infrastructure as well as content and specific contributions by individual
	Lead in the development of faculty team-based projects
	and scholarship
	Scholarship demonstrating technological innovations
	that permitted persons with disabilities to utilize digital
	media
	Publications of print or digital works, reviews, citations
	of the work in print or digital journals
	Exhibitions and conferences

The following forms of service that promote diversity, equity, and inclusion may also be considered:

- Contributions furthering diversity and equal opportunity within and beyond the unit, through participation in such activities as recruitment, retention, and mentoring of colleagues and students
- Service that contributes to inclusion, equity, or access, such as:
 - Curricular diversity: Efforts to ensure a curriculum that prepares students to critically interrogate and engage with a global, multicultural, and rapidly changing world
 - Access and success: Work that promotes equitable access to resources and opportunities that provide conditions for success for students, faculty, and staff
 - Inclusive climate: Service that fosters environments in which underrepresented populations of students, faculty, and staff are socially and culturally included
 - Contributions to student life through such activities as working with student clubs and organizations and mentoring students, for example, by guiding underrepresented students and helping them adapt to college
 - Participation in academic preparation, outreach, tutoring, pipeline, or other programs designed to remove barriers facing women, minorities, veterans, people with disabilities, and other individuals who are members of groups historically excluded from higher education

The following list of hyperlinks appearing in Ohio State University Patterns of Administration and Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure Documents should not be included in unit governance documents. It is for information only.

Alphabetical List of Hyperlinks

Academic Rights and Responsibilities Reaffirmation: https://oaa.osu.edu/rightsandresponsibilities.html

American Association of University Professors' Statement on Professional Ethics http://www.aaup.org/AAUP/pubsres/policydocs/contents/statementonprofessionalethics.htm

Anonymous Reporting Line: https://secure.ethicspoint.com/domain/media/en/gui/7689/index.html

Board of Trustees Rule 3335-23-05 (initiation and investigation of code violations): https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/code-of-student-conduct/3335-23-05.html

Board of Trustees Rule 3335-23-15 (Committee on Academic Misconduct): https://trustees.osu.edu/code-student-conduct/3335-23-15

Code of Student Conduct: https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/code-of-student-conduct/

Committee on Academic Misconduct: https://oaa.osu.edu/coam.html

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Tenure & Promotion Workgroup Report and Recommendations to the Salisbury University Faculty Senate: https://www.salisbury.edu/administration/campus-governance/faculty-senate/_files/21-22/2021-09-16-su-dei-in-tp-workgroup-report-and-recommendations.pdf

Faculty Rule 3335-3 (administration): https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/University-rules/chapter-3335-3-administration.html

Faculty Rule 3335-5 (governance): https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/University-rules/chapter-3335-5-faculty-governance-and-committees.html

Faculty Rule 3335-6 (tenure-track faculty appointments): https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/University-rules/chapter-3335-6-rules-of-the-University-faculty-concerning-faculty-appointments-reappointments-promotion-and-tenure.html

Faculty Rule 3335-7 (clinical/teaching/professional practice and research faculty appointments): https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/University-rules/chapter-3335-7-rules-of-the-University-faculty-concerning-clinical/teaching/practice-faculty-appointment-reappointment-and-nonreappointment-and-promotion.html

Faculty Rule 3335-8 (instruction): https://trustees.osu.edu/rules/University-rules/chapter-3335-8-instruction.html

Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity: http://kirwaninstitute.osu.edu/

Michael V. Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning: https://drakeinstitute.osu.edu/

Office of Academic Affairs Governance Documents Webpage: https://oaa.osu.edu/appointments-reappointments-promotion-and-tenure

Revised 9/12/23

See OAA Policies and Procedures Handbook for additional instructions.

Office of Academic Affairs *Policies and Procedures Handbook*: https://oaa.osu.edu/policies-and-procedures-handbook

Office of Distance Education and eLearning: https://odee.osu.edu

Office of Diversity and Inclusion: https://odi.osu.edu/

Office of Human Resources Employee and Labor Relations: https://hr.osu.edu/services/elr/

Office of Human Resources Employment Services: www.hr.osu.edu/

Office of Human Resources Policies and Forms: https://hr.osu.edu/policies-forms

Office of Institutional Equity: https://equity.osu.edu/

Office of University Compliance and Integrity: https://compliance.osu.edu/concern-reporting.html

Policy 6.27 (paid time off): https://hr.osu.edu/public/documents/policy/policy627.pdf

Policy 6.45 (unpaid leave): https://hr.osu.edu/public/documents/policy/policy645.pdf

Policy on Affirmative Action and Equal Employment Opportunity:

https://policies.osu.edu/assets/policies/Policy-AAEEO.pdf

Policy on Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment:

https://oaa.osu.edu/sites/default/files/uploads/policies/Faculty-Annual-Review-and-Reappointment.pdf

Policy on Faculty Appointments:

https://oaa.osu.edu/sites/default/files/links_files/facultyappointments_1.pdf

Policy on Faculty Compensation: https://oaa.osu.edu/assets/files/documents/facultycompensation.pdf

Policy on Faculty Professional Leave: https://oaa.osu.edu/sites/default/files/links_files/Policy-FINAL-Faculty-Professional-Leave-20220601.pdf

Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection:

https://oaa.osu.edu/sites/default/files/links files/facultyrecruitment.pdf

Policy on Nondiscrimination, Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct:

https://policies.osu.edu/assets/policies/Policy-NDH-Sexual-Misconduct.pdf

Policy on Outside Activities and Conflicts:

https://policies.osu.edu/assets/policies/outside-activities-policy.pdf

Policy on Special Assignment: https://oaa.osu.edu/assets/files/documents/specialassignment.pdf

Request for Absence Form: https://workday.osu.edu/

Rules of the University Faculty: https://trustees.osu.edu/bylaws-and-rules/university-faculty-rules

Sample Letters Requesting External Evaluation:

https://oaa.osu.edu/assets/files/documents/Letter201.pdf (for tenure-track faculty)

Revised 9/12/23

See OAA Policies and Procedures Handbook for additional instructions.

https://oaa.osu.edu/sites/default/files/uploads/handbooks/policies-and-procedures/samples/letters/Letter203.docx (for clinical/teaching/professional practice faculty)

Samples of Teaching Criteria and Evidence:

 $\underline{https://oaa.osu.edu/sites/default/files/uploads/handbooks/policies-and-procedures/samples/Evidence-for-Criteria_6-7-18.pdf$

SHIFT Framework:

https://faculty.osu.edu/shift

University of California Academic Personnel Policy:

https://facultydiversity.ucsd.edu/recruitment/C2D%20Guidelines_UCOP.pdf

University of Denver's College of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences DEI Committee Report: https://duvpfa.du.edu/2021/05/making-diversity-equity-and-inclusion-in-promotion-tenure-and-re-appointment-decisions-visible/

Workday: https://workday.osu.edu/